

Circuit Court for Baltimore City  
Case Nos: 811266032, 811293036

UNREPORTED  
IN THE COURT OF SPECIAL APPEALS  
OF MARYLAND

No. 2923

September Term, 2015

---

KINTRELL McEACHERN

v.

STATE OF MARYLAND

---

Woodward, C.J.,  
Beachley,  
Moylan, Charles E., Jr.  
(Senior Judge, Specially Assigned),

JJ.

---

PER CURIAM

---

Filed: October 3, 2017

\*This is an unreported opinion, and it may not be cited in any paper, brief, motion, or other document filed in this Court or any other Maryland Court as either precedent within the rule of stare decisis or as persuasive authority. Md. Rule 1-104.

Kintrell McEachern appeals from the denial, by the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, of his petition for a writ of error coram nobis. We affirm.

McEachern asserts that the *coram nobis* court erred by denying his petition without a hearing. Maryland Rule 15-1206(a), however, provides that a court may deny a petition for a writ of error coram nobis without a hearing.

McEachern also asserts that the *coram nobis* court erred in failing to recognize that his 2011 guilty plea, to possession of a forged vehicle title, was defective because he “was never made aware of the elements” of that offense. The record does not support his contention. At the plea hearing, the court specifically asked him: “Do you understand the offense to which you are pleading guilty?” He replied: “Yes, ma’am.” Moreover, not only is the offense self-explanatory, the State’s proffer of facts in support of the plea were sufficiently adequate to apprise McEachern of the nature of the crime.

McEachern also raises a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel, an allegation that he did not include in his petition. Because it was not presented to the *coram nobis* court, we shall not address it.

As for any other claims McEachern raises, they were addressed by the *coram nobis* court in its statement of reasons in support of its order denying relief. We have thoroughly reviewed the court’s decision and find no error nor abuse of the court’s discretion in denying relief.

**JUDGMENT OF THE CIRCUIT COURT  
FOR BALTIMORE CITY AFFIRMED.  
COSTS TO BE PAID BY APPELLANT.**