ADNAN SYED,

Appellant,

V.

STATE OF MARYLAND,

Appellee.

IN THE

COURT OF SPECIAL APPEALS

OF MARYLAND

No. 2519,

SEPTEMBER TERM, 2013

STATE OF MARYLAND,

Appellant/Cross-Appellee,

٧.

ADNAN SYED,

Appellee/Cross-Appellant.

IN THE

COURT OF SPECIAL APPEALS

OF MARYLAND

No. 1396,

SEPTEMBER TERM, 2016

## ORDER

ORDERED that the above-captioned cases be and hereby are consolidated and the stay entered in No. 2519, September Term, 2013 be and hereby is lifted; and it is further

ORDERED that the State's application for leave to appeal in No. 1396, September Term, 2016 be and hereby is GRANTED as to the following issues:

- (1) Whether the post-conviction court abused its discretion in reopening the post-conviction proceeding to consider Syed's claim that his trial counsel's failure to challenge the reliability of the cell phone location data evidence, based on the cell phone provider's "disclaimer" about the unreliability of incoming calls for location purposes, violated Syed's Sixth Amendment right to the effective assistance of counsel.
- (2) Whether the post-conviction court erred in finding that Syed had not waived his claim regarding trial counsel's failure to challenge the reliability of the cell phone location data for incoming calls by failing to raise it earlier.
- (3) Whether the post-conviction court erred in finding that Syed's trial counsel's failure to challenge the State's cell phone location data evidence, based on the cell phone provider's "disclaimer," violated Syed's Sixth Amendment right to the effective assistance of counsel.

It is further ORDERED that Syed's conditional application for cross-appeal in No. 1396, September Term, 2016 be and hereby is GRANTED as to the following issues:

- (1) Whether the post-conviction court erred in finding that Syed's trial counsel's failure to investigate a potential alibi witness (Asia McClain) did not violate Syed's Sixth Amendment right to the effective assistance of counsel.
- (2) Whether the post-conviction court incorrectly limited its prejudice analysis on the alibi witness issue to the effect of trial counsel's failure to investigate that witness, rather than consider prejudice based on the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The parties need not re-brief the issue of whether Syed's trial counsel was constitutionally ineffective for allegedly failing to pursue a plea agreement with the State, as that issue was briefed in *Adnan Syed v. State of Maryland*, No. 2519, Sept. Term, 2013.

cumulative effect of that error in combination with all the attorney errors alleged in this consolidated appeal.

It is further ORDERED that the State's conditional application for limited remand shall be referred to the panel of judges assigned to hear the appeal for disposition; and it is further

ORDERED that the State shall forthwith order the transcripts from the post-conviction proceedings held in the Circuit Court for Baltimore City on February 3-9, 2016, and upon receipt of said transcripts, the clerk of the circuit court shall transmit them to this Court for inclusion in the record; and it is further

ORDERED that argument in the above-captioned appeals shall be scheduled in the June 2017 session of this Court; and it is further

ORDERED that the State's brief in No. 1396, September Term, 2016 shall be filed on or before February 27, 2017; and it is further

ORDERED that appellee/cross-appellant's brief shall be filed on or before March 29, 2017; and it is further

ORDERED that the State's cross-appellee brief shall be filed on or before April 28, 2017.

CHIEF JUDGE'S SIGNATURE APPEARS ON ORIGINAL CADER

Peter B. Krauser, Chief Judge