Willie Mae Ford, et al. v. Antwerpen Motorcars Ltd., et al., No. 68, September Term 2014, Opinion by Greene, J.

CODE OF MARYLAND REGULATIONS ("COMAR") 11.12.01.15A — VEHICLE SALES CONTRACT

COMAR 11.12.01.15A provides that "[e]very vehicle sales contract or agreement shall be evinced by an instrument in writing containing all of the agreements of the parties." The adoption of this regulation has not supplanted our longstanding common law contract principles permitting the construction or reading of multiple documents together to comprise the entire agreement between the parties. *Rourke v. Amchem Prods., Inc.*, 384 Md. 329, 354, 863 A.2d 926, 941 (2004); *Rocks v. Brosius*, 241 Md. 612, 637, 217 A.2d 531, 545 (1966). The two documents before this Court, the Buyer's Order and the Retail Installment Sales Contract ("RISC"), reviewed and signed by Petitioners on the same day during the purchase and finance of a vehicle, indicate an intention that the documents be construed together as part of the same transaction, i.e., the purchase and finance of an automobile. Thus, the arbitration clause in the Buyer's Order controls a dispute over an alleged breach of the RISC.

Circuit Court for Baltimore City Case No. 24-C-13-002548 Argued: April 1, 2015

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF MARYLAND

No. 68 September Term, 2014

WILLIE MAE FORD, et al.

v.

ANTWERPEN MOTORCARS LTD., et al.

Barbera, C.J.

*Harrell

Battaglia

Greene

Adkins

McDonald

**Watts,

JJ.

Opinion by Greene, J.
Battaglia and McDonald, JJ., concur in the judgment.

Filed: June 29, 2015

*Harrell, J., participated in the hearing of the case, in the conference in regard to its decision and in the adoption of the opinion but he retired from the Court prior to the filing of the opinion.

**Watts, J., participated in the hearing of this case but recused herself prior to conferencing and adoption of this opinion. In the instant case we are asked to consider the extent to which multiple documents executed on the same day during the course of the purchase and financing of an automobile may be read together as constituting the entire agreement between the parties. In particular, we address whether the Code of Maryland Regulations ("COMAR") 11.12.01.15A, which Petitioners have referred to as the "Single Document Rule," prevents an automobile dealer from relying on an arbitration provision found in a Buyer's Order, but not explicitly within the four corners of the Retail Installment Sales Contract ("RISC"). We conclude that COMAR 11.12.01.15A does not, as Petitioners suggest, displace our common law contract principles permitting multiple documents from being construed together as evincing the entire agreement of the parties. *Rourke v. Amchem Prods., Inc.*, 384 Md. 329, 354, 863 A.2d 926, 941 (2004); *Rocks v. Brosius*, 241 Md. 612, 637, 217 A.2d 531, 545 (1966).

I. FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

This case arises from Petitioners', Willie Mae Ford and Rashad Earle Beale, purchase

¹ Under COMAR 11.12.01.15A "[e] very vehicle sales contract or agreement shall be evinced by an instrument in writing containing all of the agreements of the parties."

² Although Petitioners refer to COMAR 11.12.01.15A as the "Single Document Rule," we have not been provided with, nor are we aware of, any Maryland judicial opinion on point or other persuasive authority using this label.

³ The Buyer's Order form sets forth, among other things, the parties to the vehicle sale, the identity of the vehicle purchased, its purchase price, any down payment or trade-in value credited towards the vehicle's price, and the balance owed to the automobile dealership upon delivery.

and finance of an automobile from Respondent, Antwerpen Motorcars Ltd. ("Antwerpen"), on April 24, 2010. Petitioners aver that Antwerpen failed to properly disclose the vehicle's history, including its involvement in a collision and use as a short-term rental. The gravamen of Petitioners' dispute before this Court concerns not the vehicle's history, but rather the existence, or lack thereof, of an agreement to arbitrate disputes under the terms of the vehicle sales contract. In determining whether Petitioners' claims against Antwerpen are subject to a mandatory arbitration provision, we consider two documents executed by the parties during the transaction. On the same day, Petitioners executed both a Buyer's Order—which sets forth the purchase price—and a Retail Installment Sales Contract ("RISC")—which contains the financing terms of the purchase.

In relevant part, the Buyer's Order provides:

AGREEMENT TO ARBITRATE DISPUTES

Buyer(s) (also referred to as "You") and Dealer agree that if any Dispute arises, the Dispute will be resolved by binding arbitration[.]

* * * *

A Dispute is any question as to whether something must be mediated and the terms and procedures of the mediation, as well as any allegation concerning a violation of a sales state or federal statute that may be subject of mediation, any monetary claim whether contract, tort, or other, arising from the negotiation of and terms of the Buyer's Order, any service contract or insurance product, or any retail installment sale contract or lease (but this mediation agreement does not apply to and shall not be binding on any assignee thereof).

* * * *

The parties understand that they are waiving their rights to jury a trial and class consideration of all claims and disputes between them not specifically exempted from arbitration in this Agreement.

The front and back of this buyer's order, along with other documents signed by You in connection with this order, comprise the entire agreement between the parties affecting this purchase.

Petitioners' signatures appear directly below the language contained in the Agreement to Arbitrate.

The RISC, which sets forth the terms of the financing agreement between Petitioners and Antwerpen, does not include an agreement to arbitrate. Specifically, the RISC provides that "You, the Buyer (and Co-Buyer, if any), may buy the vehicle below for cash or on credit. By signing this contract, you choose to buy the vehicle on credit under the agreements on the front and back of this contract." Following the various financing terms contained in the agreement, the RISC provides, in relevant part, that "[t]his contract along with all other documents signed by you in connection with the purchase of this vehicle, comprise the entire agreement between you and us affecting this purchase." (Emphasis added). Petitioners' signatures are located two sentences after this provision. The RISC further provides that it is governed by the Creditor Grantor Closed End Credit Provisions ("CLEC"), Md. Code (1975, 2013 Repl. Vol., 2014 Supp.), § 12-1001 et seq. of the Commercial Law Article ("Com. Law").

Petitioners filed their Complaint in the Circuit Court for Baltimore City on April 29, 2013, after discovering the vehicle's alleged incident history. In their Complaint, Petitioners

asserted the following causes of action against Antwerpen: Breach of Implied Warranty of Merchantability (Count I); violation of the Maryland Consumer Protection Act (Count II); Deceit by Non-Disclosure or Concealment of Prior Rental and Accident (Counts III-IV); Negligent Misrepresentation (Count V); and Contract (Count VI). Antwerpen moved to compel arbitration on the basis of the Agreement to Arbitrate contained in the Buyer's Order. Petitioners opposed Antwerpen's motion on the grounds that the language of the Buyer's Order was superseded by the RISC, which contained no arbitration agreement. Following a hearing on Antwerpen's motion on October 21, 2013, the Circuit Court entered an order granting Antwerpen's Motion to Compel Arbitration.

On November 18, 2013, Petitioners appealed to the Court of Special Appeals seeking a reversal of the judgment entered in the Circuit Court. Prior to any proceedings in the Court of Special Appeals, we granted Petitioners' *certiorari* petition, *Ford v. Antwerpen Motorcars Ltd*, 440 Md. 114, 99 A.3d 778 (2014), to consider the following question:

(1) Under Maryland contract law, is an arbitration provision not contained in a vehicle sales contract, but found in a Buyer's Order executed on the same day, enforceable where the applicable Maryland regulations require vehicle sales contracts to "contain[] all agreements of the parties"?

As explained in greater detail below, we shall answer that question in the affirmative and hold that, for the purposes of the instant case, the Buyer's Order may be construed together with the RISC as evincing the entire agreement between the parties. Accordingly, we affirm the judgment of the Circuit Court.

II. STANDARD OF REVIEW

An order compelling arbitration is a final and appealable judgment of the trial court. *Holloman v. Circuit City Stores, Inc.*, 391 Md. 580, 588, 894 A.2d 547, 551 (2006). "The trial court's conclusion as to whether a particular dispute is subject to arbitration is a conclusion of law," which we review for legal correctness. *Walther v. Sovereign Bank*, 386 Md. 412, 422, 872 A.2d 735, 741 (2005); *Holloman*, 391 Md. at 588, 894 A.2d at 551. When reviewing a trial court's decision compelling arbitration, our role "extends only to a determination of the existence of an arbitration agreement." *Walther*, 386 Md. at 422, 872 A.2d at 741 (quoting *Allstate Ins. Co. v. Stinebaugh*, 374 Md. 631, 645, 824 A.2d 87, 95 (2003)).

III. DISCUSSION

The parties' present dispute concerns the existence of an agreement to arbitrate contained in the Buyer's Order and, in particular, whether multiple documents signed during the purchase and finance of the vehicle may be read together as constituting the entire agreement between the parties to a vehicle sales contract. "The issue of whether an agreement to arbitrate exists is governed by contract principles." *Holloman*, 391 Md. at 590, 894 A.2d at 552; *Walther*, 386 Md. at 425, 872 A.2d at 743 (citation omitted) ("Whether a valid arbitration agreement exists . . . 'depends on contract principles since arbitration is a matter of contract.'"); *Curtis G. Testerman Co. v. Buck*, 340 Md. 569, 579, 667 A.2d 649,

654 (1995) (citation omitted) ("Arbitration is 'consensual; a creature of contract. . . . In the absence of an express arbitration agreement, no party may be compelled to submit to arbitration in contravention of its right to legal process.").

"The fundamental rule in the construction and interpretation of contracts is that the intention of the parties as expressed in the language of the contract controls the analysis." *Buck*, 340 Md. at 580, 667 A.2d at 654. "In construing contracts, Maryland follows the objective interpretation principle. If the language of the contract is unambiguous, we give effect to its plain meaning and do not delve into what the parties may have subjectively intended." *Rourke v. Amchem Prods., Inc.*, 384 Md. 329, 354, 863 A.2d 926, 941 (2004). "'[A] party who signs a contract is presumed to have read and understood its terms and as such will be bound by its execution.' . . . '[W]e are loath to rescind a conspicuous agreement that was signed by a party whom now, for whatever reason, does not desire to fulfill that agreement." *Koons Ford of Balt., Inc. v. Lobach*, 398 Md. 38, 46, 919 A.2d 722, 727 (2007) (citations omitted).

Petitioners contend that the Circuit Court erred in granting Antwerpen's motion by construing the arbitration provision contained in the Buyer's Order together with the RISC. In Petitioners' view, the RISC constitutes the vehicle sales contract, and it does not contain an arbitration clause. Therefore, Petitioners contend that the RISC and the Buyer's Order, as a matter of law, are separate agreements that may not be read together. In support of their

position, Petitioners rely substantially upon the language of COMAR 11.12.01.15A, which Petitioners refer to as the "Single Document Rule." This regulation provides that "[e]very vehicle sales contract or agreement shall be evinced by an instrument in writing containing all of the agreements of the parties." Petitioners, directing this Court to the use of the singular term "an instrument," aver that "[t]his means that, even if a contract in other situations might be comprised of several documents, in a car sale in Maryland COMAR requires all the terms of the contract must be contained in one document, or 'instrument' [sic]."

Where the vehicle is financed by the dealer, Petitioners assert that the RISC alone serves as the "vehicle sales contract," because the RISC contains both the principal amount and interest charged. See Md. Code (1977, 2012 Repl. Vol., 2014 Supp.), § 15-311 of the Transportation Article ("A contract for the sale of a vehicle by a dealer shall contain . . . [t]he principal amount charged for the vehicle; [and] any interest charged on the principal amount[.]"). Petitioners further maintain that the RISC, itself, purports to be the entire agreement between the parties because it provides that "[b]y signing this contract, you choose to buy the vehicle on credit under the agreements on the front and back of this contract." Accordingly, the RISC, in Petitioners' view, must include all of the agreements between the parties within the four-corners of that document. Hence, Petitioners conclude that the failure of Antwerpen to include the arbitration provision within the RISC means that no such agreement exists and arbitration may not be compelled. This failure, they contend, renders

the RISC and the Buyer's Order inconsistent and, as a result, the documents cannot be read together as one agreement.

Antwerpen argues that, under well-established contract law, the Buyer's Order and RISC may be construed together as evincing the entire agreement of the parties. See Rocks v. Brosius, 241 Md. 612, 637, 217 A.2d 531, 545 (1966). Antwerpen points out that, contrary to Petitioners' contention that the RISC contains an integration provision, the RISC incorporates by reference the Buyer's Order and its arbitration provision by providing that "[t]his contract along with all other documents signed by you in connection with the purchase of this vehicle, comprise the entire agreement." (Emphasis added). Further, Antwerpen contends that Petitioners have mis-characterized COMAR 11.12.01.15A as a "Single Document Rule." Antwerpen notes that Petitioners have failed to provide any authority using or creating the label "Single Document Rule" in reference to COMAR 11.12.01.15A. This regulation, Antwerpen asserts, "simply does not state that all of the agreements . . . must be in 'a single document." Contrary to Petitioners' interpretation, the use of the phrase "an instrument" does not preclude incorporating other documents by reference. Accordingly, Antwerpen asks this Court to affirm the decision of the Circuit Court compelling arbitration.

We disagree with Petitioners that COMAR 11.12.01.15A supplants our longstanding common law contract principles permitting the construction or reading of multiple documents

together as part of a single transaction. As explained by this Court in *Rocks*, 241 Md. at 637, 217 A.2d at 545:

A contract need not be evidenced by a single instrument. Where several instruments are made a part of a single transaction they will all be read and construed together as evidencing the intention of the parties in regard to the single transaction. This is true even though the instruments were executed at different times and do not in terms refer to each other.

See also Rourke, 384 Md. at 354, 863 A.2d at 941 ("Where the contract comprises two or more documents, the documents are to be construed together, harmoniously, so that, to the extent possible, all of the provisions can be given effect.").

Since 1978, COMAR 11.12.01.15A has provided that "[e]very vehicle sales contract or agreement shall be evinced by an instrument in writing containing all of the agreements of the parties." *See* 5:12 Md. R. 981 (June 16, 1978); 5:17 Md. R. 1331 (August 25, 1978). Contrary to Petitioners' labeling of this regulation as the "Single Document Rule," the term "single" is absent from the language. Nor is there any indication in our jurisprudence that, as a result of the use of the singular term "an instrument," a vehicle sales contract may not, as a matter of law, incorporate multiple documents by reference. To the contrary, the mere use of a singular term such as "an instrument" or "a contract," does not prevent the application of the principles in *Rocks* and *Rourke*.

Petitioners have provided this Court with several opinions, which in Petitioners' view have "consistently and repeatedly reenforced the requirement that all agreements . . . must be contained in one instrument, and have recognized that only the financing agreement or

RISC contains all of the agreements of the parties." Upon review, the authorities provided, none of which are binding upon this Court,⁴ offer little more than cursory, unpersuasive assertions lacking support in our jurisprudence. Indeed, these authorities either provide no textual support or cite to one of the other unpersuasive opinions Petitioners rely upon to present their case to this Court.

To the extent the opinions Petitioners have provided this Court suggest that, in the context of a vehicle sale financed by the dealer, a Buyer's Order may not be construed together with the RISC, such opinions appear already to have been called into question by the Fourth Circuit's recent opinion in *Rota-McLarty v. Santander Consumer USA, Inc.*, 700 F.3d 690 (4th Cir. 2012). In *Rota-McLarty*, similar to the instant case, an individual executed a Buyer's Order—which contained an agreement to arbitrate—and a RISC—which

⁴ Specifically, Petitioners have provided us with state and federal trial court opinions. See Ricks v. Wilson Powell Lincoln-Mercury, Inc., Case No. 1:10-cv-02342-WDQ (Cir. Ct. Prince George's Cnty., Mar. 28, 2011); Baker v. Antwerpen Motorcars Ltd., 807 F. Supp. 2d 386 (D. Md. 2011); Lambert v. Nat'l Motors, Inc., Civ. No. WMN-10-3522 (D. Md. May 4, 2011); Tokarski v. Castle Auto Outlet, LLC, Civ. No. RDB-09-509 (D. Md. Sept. 25, 2009); Memo to Counsel, Houston v. Crown Motors, Civ. No. JFM-02-3859 (D. Md. July 8, 2003).

Petitioners also cite to two decisions from the intermediate appellate court, see Sabmd, LLC v. Ross, No. 2277 (Ct. Spec. App. 2006), Smith v. Rosenthal Toyota, Inc., 83 Md. App. 55, 573 A.2d 418 (1990). With respect to Smith, Petitioners rely on a single footnote which (1) addresses an inapplicable act and (2) does not consider the possibility of incorporating by reference an arbitration provision.

Lastly, Petitioners have provided this Court with a letter of advisement from an assistant attorney general provided to the Motor Vehicle Administration which unequivocally provides that it is "NOT AN OPINION OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL" (emphasis in original) and opinions from other jurisdictions that interpret their own state statutes. *See*, *e.g.*, *Raceway Ford CasesLarkin v. New Century Auto Sales Inc.*, No. 12-13917 (E.D. Mich. Jan. 3, 2014); *Kroupa v. Sunrise Ford*, 92 Cal. Rptr. 2d 42 (Cal. Ct. App. 1999).

contained no arbitration agreement—with the dealership during the purchase and financing of an automobile. *Id.* at 694-95.

In determining whether the arbitration agreement in the Buyer's Order was enforceable, the court noted that:

Rota—McLarty does advance a novel theory in support of integration on appeal, premised on Md. Code Regs. § 11.12.01.15, which provides that "every vehicle sales contract or agreement shall be evidenced by an instrument in writing containing all of the agreements of the parties." See Appellee's Br. at 19. She seems to argue that the regulation requires one document by itself to constitute the parties' agreement, and thus we must read the Buyer's Order alone. Even if this argument is not waived, Rota—McLarty fails to provide any authority for her interpretation of the cited regulation, nor for the proposition that this regulation supplants an entire established body of Maryland law governing contract interpretation.

Id. at 700 n.8 (emphasis added). Despite the presence of an integration clause in the RISC, the court concluded that "Rota-McLarty has failed to establish that the RISC's integration clause prevents reading both [the Buyer's Order and the RISC] together as a single agreement." Id. at 700.5

⁵ Rota-McLarty is not the only opinion which casts doubt upon Petitioners' assertion that "Maryland courts . . . have consistently and repeatedly enforced the requirement that all agreements of the parties, including arbitration agreements, must be contained in one instrument in vehicle sales transactions, and . . . only the financing agreement or RISC contains all of the agreements of the parties." For instance, following the Fourth Circuit's decision, an argument nearly identical to that of Petitioners' was rejected by a Maryland state trial court. See Whitehead v. Driveline Auto., LLC, No. 12-36674 (Cir. Ct. Prince George's Cnty., April 18, 2013). Interestingly, this opinion was issued by the same judge that previously decided Ricks, which Petitioners cite to support their position. See supra.

Petitioners aver that reliance upon the footnote in *Rota-McLarty* is inappropriate because, in their view, the issue of the "Single Document Rule" had not been properly raised before that court. We need not resolve the present dispute on the basis of *Rota-McLarty*, however. As explained above, Petitioners have failed to provide this Court with any persuasive or binding authority to support their proposition that only a single document by itself may constitute the parties' agreement.

Turning to the language of the documents before this Court, the Buyer's Order and the RISC, reviewed and signed by Petitioners on the same day, indicate an intention that the documents be construed together as part of the same transaction. Notably, the RISC incorporates the Buyer's Order by reference and provides that "[t]his contract along with all other documents signed by you in connection with the purchase of this vehicle, comprise the entire agreement." (Emphasis added). Petitioners' signatures were required almost immediately following this statement.

Similarly, the Buyer's Order states that "[t]he front and back of this buyer's order, along with other documents signed by You in connection with this order, comprise the entire agreement between the parties[.]" (Emphasis added). Once again, Petitioners' signatures follow this provision. We also note that the Agreement to Arbitrate contained in the Buyer's Order refers directly to the RISC in its definition of "disputes." Indeed, the arbitration provisions states, in part, that "[a] Dispute is . . . any monetary claim whether

contract, tort, or other, arising from the negotiation of and terms of the Buyer's Order, any service contract or insurance product, **or any retail installment sale contract**." (Emphasis added). In other words, the arbitration agreement signed and agreed to by Petitioners on the same day as the RISC explicitly states that it applies to disputes arising out of the RISC.

We reject Petitioners' contention that the language, "[b]y signing this contract, you choose to buy the vehicle on credit under the agreements on the front and back of this contract" means that the RISC purported to be the sole document considered for the purposes of the agreement between the parties and necessarily superseded the Buyer's Order. Absent from this language is any indication that any prior agreements, such as the Buyer's Order executed only moments prior to the RISC, were no longer of any force or effect as Petitioners suggest. As noted above, one of the agreements contained in the RISC requiring Petitioners' signatures was that "[t]his contract along with all other documents signed by you in connection with the purchase of this vehicle, comprise the entire agreement."

IV. Conclusion

Accordingly, under our long standing common law contract principles as expressed in *Rocks* and *Rourke*, multiple documents may be construed together as evincing the entire agreement of the parties to a vehicle sales contract. The RISC and the Buyer's Order in the present case indicate an intention that they are to be read together as constituting one transaction.

JUDGMENT OF THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE CITY AFFIRMED. PETITIONERS TO PAY THE COSTS IN THIS COURT.

Circuit Court for Baltimore City Case No. 24-C-13-002548 Argued: April 1, 2015

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF MARYLAND

No. 68 September Term, 2014

WILLIE MAE FORD, et al.

v.

ANTWERPEN MOTORCARS LTD., et al.

Barbera, C.J.

*Harrell

Battaglia

Greene

Adkins

McDonald

**Watts, J.,

JJ.

Opinion by McDonald, J., concurring in the judgment, which Battaglia, J., joins

Filed: June 29, 2015

*Harrell, J., participated in the hearing of the case, in the conference in regard to its decision and in the adoption of the majority opinion but he retired from the Court prior to the filing of the opinion.

**Watts, J., participated in the hearing of this case but recused herself prior to conferencing and adoption of this opinion. In fantasy literature devoted to the battle between good and evil, the allegiance of elves, orcs, dwarfs, and goblins is readily apparent.¹ In the battle between clarity and obfuscation in legal instruments, it is sometimes uncertain which side attorneys will take. This case turns on the drafting and placement of an arbitration agreement in one of several legal documents concerning the sale of a used car.

I have attached to this opinion the "buyer's order" and Retail Installment Sales Contract ("RISC") as they appear, somewhat enlarged, in the materials provided to this Court. The Majority opinion – a model of clarity itself – is able to navigate these documents.

But a lay person interested in buying a used car would be well advised to bring along a lawyer, a magnifying glass, and perhaps an English major, to decipher their meaning.

There are significant differences between these two documents. Pertinent to this case, the buyer's order contains fine print in which the purchasers essentially waive future access to the public justice system for any disputes arising out of the transaction in favor of an alternative dispute process.³ The RISC does not contain such a provision. At issue is

¹J.R.R. Tolkien, The Lord of the Rings (1949).

²The phrase "buyer's order" is not actually the title of the document, but, as far as I can tell, appears only in the fine print at the bottom of the front page of the document.

³That provision is set out in considerably larger print and much more legible spacing in the Majority opinion. Majority slip op. at 2.

whether the terms of the RISC supersede those of the buyer's order.

The fine print at the bottom of the buyer's order states that "[t]he front and back of this buyer's order, along with other documents signed by You in connection with this order, comprise the entire agreement between the parties affecting this purchase..." The RISC contains a similar reference to "all other documents signed by you." There is no indication in either document, nor is the record clear, as to the universe of "other documents" signed in connection with the purchase of this vehicle that are incorporated by reference. In any event, the universe of documents that comprise the transaction is apparently not confined to signed documents. The bottom of the rear side of the "buyer's order" states that "The information that you see on the window form for this vehicle is part of this contract. The information on the window form overrides any contrary provisions in the contract of sale." Similar language appears in a paragraph 5 on the reverse side of the RISC.

In an apparent effort to improve the possibility that a consumer might be aware of all of the terms affecting a purchase of a car, the Motor Vehicle Administration ("MVA") has adopted a regulation that indisputably applies to the transaction in this case. That regulation provides:

Every vehicle sales contract or agreement shall be evidenced by an instrument in writing containing all of the agreements of the parties. It shall be signed by all of the parties before the seller delivers to the buyer the vehicle covered by the agreement.

⁴The window form does not appear in the record in this case.

COMAR 11.12.01.15A (emphasis added). The plain language of the regulation establishes what might be called a "single instrument rule" for car sales. Ms. Ford and Mr. Beale base their appeal entirely on the notion that this means a *single document* and that the RISC, which does not contain an arbitration provision, is that document. Antwerpen counters that the requisite instrument may be comprised of multiple documents and here includes the buyer's order. The Majority agrees with Antwerpen and concludes that arbitration clause in the buyer's order was not superseded by the RISC.

I agree that a single instrument may be comprised of more than one document and, on that narrow point, given the language of these documents, I join in the judgment. Whether these documents – and whatever else was purportedly incorporated in them – actually comply with the regulation is a question for another day.

Judge Battaglia has advised that she joins this opinion.

⁵Had the RISC here contained the language in the RISC analyzed in the 2012 letter of the MVA's counsel – *i.e.*, that "[t]he terms of this contract set forth your entire and only obligation to Seller" – I would have deferred to the agency's interpretation of its own regulation set forth in that letter and concluded that the buyers had no obligation to arbitrate the dispute.

osistisso krū	oj		4 x	s s e t ∏he Reynetiske	Repolis Concess Unit a 2 2	·	<u> </u>		•
DEAL, I;	13352	CUST #:	30358	••		/24/201			900A
	ANTWI	RP	EN		YEAR 2007	MAKE NISE	INEW X	OND HE SENTR	LI DEMO
1701	ANTWERPEN NISSAN 01 WOODLAWN DRIVE, BALTIMORE, MD 21207 (410)298-4400			907	COLORHITE			ODES	
				VIN 3N1AB61E17L698873 0001			^{IETER} 33765		
11	we WILLIE MAE FOR	0	<u> Victorialia</u>		NAME .	* POSHOT	·	one 3	1.5
B U E R INSURA ADORE	W154.15, 1975-1.000				C RASHAD EARLE BEALE O : B U Y E R INSURANCE AGENT: VINCE VANNEMAN PHOXIE NUMBER: POLICY/RINDER #:				
over but	AC AC VCUIALE / PAD VIDILVCUIA	Ee Martin	UO ADDISION	AS MANUFACT	EFFECTIVE DAT				
UNGE PH	CE OF VEHICLE (FOR NEW VEHICE FREIGHT CHARGE (FO						c Muanunet L	HDCL)	1777
	DEALER INSTALLED ITEMS AND							N/A	
ļ									
					<u> </u>			N/A	
		·	<u></u>			····	. , , ; . ,	N/A	
DEAL	ER WARRANTY IS ONE	ארוארוא	B LOGO	MI ON DO	LIEDTONIK OK			NSTALLED ITEMS	N/A
		3,0,1111	N 1000	III GIT FO	HERERHIE OF	YC. ; .		ED RETAIL PRICE	N/A
		•				- 		SH SALES PRICE	12000.00
	DEALER PROCESSING	CHARG	E (NOT R	EQUIRED	BY LAW)		· · ·	100.00	12000.00
	ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION F						••	20.00	
	TIRE RECYCLING FEE							4.00	
	STATE SALES TAV 726.00				BLE AMOUNT (LES			N/A)	12124.00
	SO OO		LETAX	N/A 20.00	REGISTRATION F		•	<u> </u>	
	TITLE FEES	SECURITY	INTEREST _	20,00	TEMPORARY	,,,,,,	5.00		020.00
	VAL ITEMS				aobioine Oi	P IAKES, II	LING AND REG	ISTRATION FEES	939.00
RESAI	CE CONTRACT	· 15	599.00			1		· ,	
<u> </u>									
	/		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			:	<u>]</u>	
			·	SUBTO	OTAL OF SERVICE,			·	1599.00
-	DEPOSIT	****	• T					RED PRICE	14662.00
	NET TRADE ALLOWANCE	N/A N/A	DOWNPAY		25 <u>0</u> 0,00 N/A		AŠH DOWN	2500.00	
<u> </u>			INGLIMAU	E PAY OFF	11771	. I NETTRA	DE ECNITA	. <u>N/A</u>	
<u> </u>	MANUFACTURER REBATES								
			'	APX. 1				N/A	
				TOTAL CREDITS	2500.00				
TOTAL	BALANCE DUE ON DE	LIVERY			 □ cas	SH XI	Î FINANCE	TOTAL CHEDITS	12162,00
	CUSTOMER A	CCEPTS	SALE PE	RICE AND /		LLOWA	NCE IN LIE		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

•	DESCRIPTION OF TRA	ADE-IN	
MAKE	MODEL NO TRADE	түре .	YEAR .
DOMETER M/A	COLOR	VEHICLE IDENTIFICATION NUMBER	

NO LIABILITY INSURANCE FOR BODILY INJURY OR PROPERTY DAMAGE IS INCLUDED UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.

AGREEMENT TO ARBITRATE DISPUTES .

AGREGIANT TO ARBITRATE DISPUTES

Byra(s) like minute in as 'You') and Dealer squee that if any Dispute alons, the Dispute will be resolved by Ruding subtration under the application rides of an alternative dispute resolution agency by a single ultimator and to ten influency or restrict frozens with the second with a special processing. The processing in the processing is a minute part of the control with all the part of the control in the processing of the control in the processing of the control in the part of the control in the processing of the control in the part of the processing of the part of the processing of the part of any disast activation of the processing of the part of the part of the processing of the part of the part of the figurations, and the part of the part of the part of the figuration of the part of the part of the figuration of the part of the part of the part of the figuration of the part of the part of the part of the figuration of the part of the par

CO-BUYER

the priors unstrained but they are valved from 1974 to that do this consideration of at chins and depotes betaum them to specifically exampled from unbration in the Acquiries. The first and that of this dry to contrast, the set of the contrast section of the contrast section with the contrast and the section of the contrast of the section of the dry to the contrast of the section of the dry to the contrast of the section of the dry to the contrast of the section of the dry to the contrast of the section of the dry to the contrast of the section of the dry to the contrast of the section of the dry to the contrast of the section of the dry to the contrast of the section of the dry to the contrast of the contras APX, 2 Dealer's Signative 04/24/2010 JAMES JONES DATE 04/24/2010

ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF SALE

It is further understood and agreed between Dealer and Buyer (and co-buyer, if applicable) (referred to as "You") that the agreement on the reverse side horeof is subject to the following terms and conditions:

- 1. New Vehicle Sales If the manufacturer/distributor changes the suggested retail price of equipment or ordered vehicle, Dealer may change the price accordingly. If the price is increased by Dealer, You may, if dissalisfied therewith, cancel this order in which event if a used motor vehicle has been traded in as part of the consideration for such new motor vehicle, such used motor vehicle shall be returned to You upon payment of a reasonable charge for storage, repairs and reconditioning (If any), or, if such used motor vehicle has been previously sold by Dealer, the amount received therefor, less a selling commission of 15% and any expense incurred in storing, insuring, conditioning, or advertising said vehicle for sale, shall be returned to You. If the manufacturer/distributor substantially modifies the ordered vehicle design/equipment or does not manufacture or distribute an ordered vehicle, this agreement is voldable by either party upon ten (10) days notice. Dealer shall only be obligated to return the deposit without interest, trade-in vehicle or the cash price of the trade-in vehicle as set forth above at Dealer's discretion.
- Trade-In Vehicles If the used motor vehicle which has been traded in as part of the consideration for the motor vehicle ordered hereunder is not to be delivered to Dealer until dolivery of such motor vehicle, the used motor vehicle may be reappraised at that time by Dealer and such reappraised value shall be delivered in same condition as appraised with same equipment. You guarantee to deliver title free and clear of liens or encumbrances within five (5) days of signing this agreement. If pay-off on Your trade-in is more than estimated herein, You shall immediately pay said difference and, if lower, Dealer will return said amount. If a trade-in, title or equipment is not delivered as agreed. Dealer may cancol this purchase order and upon demand receive from You payment of a pay-off on trade-in and/or seek remedies as set forth in Paragraph 3 herein. At Dealer's discretion, You assume risk of loss to trade-in vehicle until title reassigned.
- 3. Default New & Used Vehicle In the event of default, which includes, but is not limited to (1) Your check is returned without payment; (2) promissory note not timely paid; (3) trade-in vehicle not delivered to dealer; (4) trade-in title not delivered unencumbered; (5) fallure to cooperate and sign documents; and/or (6) Your failure or refusal to accept delivery of the motor vehicle ordered hereunder, Doaler shall be entitled, at its discretion, to the choice of remedies in this Agreement, which may be used separately or together, including (1) cancel purchase order; (2) repossess vehicle without notice; (3) rescind the sales transaction; (4) seek collection for amounts due; and/or (5) retain as liquidated damages any cash down payment made by You, and in the event a used motor vehicle has been traded in as part of the consideration for the motor vehicle ordered hereunder, to sell such used motor vehicle and reimburse itself out of the proceeds of such sale for any actual damages suffered by Dealer as a result of such default. Dealer shall be entitled to recover from You, for an event of default, costs for repossession/collection, reasonable interest plus reasonable attorney's fees. Any waiver of all or part of a remedy is not a continuing vaiver.
- 4. <u>Dealer's Right To Terminate Agreement New & Used Vehiclos</u> Dealer may cancel this Agreement (f: (1) on event of default as defined above; (2) any statement or representation by You is not accurate or truthful; or (3) Dealer cannot deliver vehicle for any reason set forth in Paragraph 1. Dealer's only obligation or liability shall be as stated in Paragraph 1.
- 5. <u>Condition Beyond Dealer's Control</u> Dealer shall not be liable for failure to deliver or delay in delivering the motor vehicle covered by this order where such failure or delay is due, in whole or part, to any cause beyond the control or without the fault or negligence of Dealer.
- 6. Taxes Unless otherwise expressly provided, the Total Purchase Price for the ordered vehicle specified on the reverse side hereof does not include any taxes imposed by any governmental authority with respect to such vehicle prior to or at the time of delivery of such vehicle to You, You assume and agree to pay any and all such taxes, and any and all other taxes except Dealer's income taxes, imposed on or incidental to the transaction covered by this order, regardless of who may have the primary tax liability.
- 7. <u>Documents</u> You agree to cooperate and execute all documents required by Dealer to complete the sale/lease of a vehicle. The default provisions of Paragraph 3 apply for failure. In the event the Vehicle Sales Contract must be retyped or changed, You agree to execute a new Vehicle Sales Contract so long as there is not a material change in the terms agreed to.
- 8. <u>Cash Transaction</u> In the case of a cash transaction, title to the ordered vehicle shall not pass to You until the Dealer shall have received, in cash, the full amount of the Unpaid Balance. However, the Dealer may, at its discretion, pass the title to the ordered vehicle prior to receipt of the full amount of the Unpaid Balance. The passing of title prior to receipt of the full cash amount of Unpaid Balance shall not relieve Your obligation to pay, in full, the Unpaid Balance as shown on the reverse side hereof. In the event that the transaction covered by the order is not a cash transaction. You agree to execute, before or at the time of delivery of the ordered vehicle, such conditional sales contracts and other instruments as may be required by Dealer.
- 9. <u>Security Agreement</u> You hereby grant Dealer, its successors and assigns, a security interest in the motor vehicle, equipment and accessories to be purchased pursuant to this agreement and such security interest shall remain in effect until all sums due hereunder have been paid in full.
- Manufacturer's Warrantles You agree that You have been given the opportunity to read the owner's manual, including the manufacturer's warranty and rustproofing information. The Dealer is not a party to the manufacturer's warranty. In the case of a now motor vehicle or chassis, the printed new manufacturer's new vehicle warranty delivered to You with such vehicle or chassis shall apply. In the case of a used motor vehicle or chassis, the applicability of an existing manufacturer's warranty shall be determined by the terms of such warranty.
- 11. <u>Notice Pursuant to the Automotive Warranty Enforcement Act</u> Under certain circumstances, the Maryland Automotive Warranty Enforcement Act grants to consumers the right to have a manufacturer or factory branch replace a motor vehicle or refund the purchase price during the warranty period, which under the Automotive Warranty Enforcement Act, is the earlier of 15.000 miles of operation or 15 months following delivery to the consumer. The

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Automotive Warranty Enforcement Act requires that if a new motor vehicle does not conform to all applicable warranties during the warranty period, the consumer shall, during such period, report the non-conformity, defect or condition by giving written notice to the manufacturer or factory branch by Certified. Mail, Return Receipt Requested.

- 12. <u>Estimates</u> Any amount marked as an "estimato" on this agreement is based on the best information available to the Dealer and is subject to change when the true amount is determined.
- 13. <u>Used Vehicle Disclosure</u> Contractual disclosure statement for used vehicle: the information you see on the window-form for this vehicle is part of this contract, the information on the window form overrides any contrary provisions in the contract of sale.

HOTICE

Any holder of this consumer credit contract is subject to all claims and defenses which the debtor could assert against the seller of the goods or services pursuant thereto or with the proceeds hereof. Recovery hereunder by the debtor shall not exceed the amounts paid by the debtor hereunder.

POPRING

Bealer Number Contract Number

Buyer Name and Address (Including County and Zip Code)	RASHAD ERRLE BEALE	Creditor Seller (Name and Address) ONTHERPEN NIESON 1761 HOODLANN DRIVE BALTINORE ND 21267 HOWARD
noit adt an einamhaoine adt rabhuithe an tha tron	t and back of this contract. You agree to pay the Creditor in U.S. funds according to the payment schedule beld	L - Selle, (sometimes, we, or ha in any configuri
New/Used Year and Model	Vehicle Identification Number	Primary Use For Which Purchased
USED 2997 SENTRA	XXIANG1E17L690873	personal, tamily or household business agricultural
ANNUAL PERCENTAGE CHARGE RATE The dobtar The cost of amount the cost of credit will a yearly rate. IS, 04 % \$ 6483.83 \$ Your Payment Schedule Will Be: Number of Amount of Payments. Payments Payments. Payment End received in tult of 10 % of the part of the payment that is Prepayment. If you pay off all your debt early, ye Security Interest. You are giving a security Interest.	of you not have to pay a penalty, est in the vehicle being purchased. more information including information about nonpayment,	Insurance. You may buy the physical damage insurance this contact requires (see back) from anyone you choose who is acceptable to us. You are not required to buy any other visurance to obtain credit unless the box indipaling Vandor's Single Interest is required is checked below. If any insurance is checked below, polides or certificates from the named insurance companies will describe the terms and conditions. Check the insurance you want and sign below. Optional Credit insurance Credit Ute: Buyer Co-Buyer Both Credit Usability (Buyer Only) Premium: Credit Usability (Buyer Only) N/A Insurance Company Name N/A Home Office Address N/A Hy A Credit tile insurance and credit disability insurance are not required to obtain credit. Your decision to buy or not buy
ITEMIZATION OF AMOUNT FINANCED 1 Cash Pike of Motor Vehicle (Inchange access B Dealer Processing Charge (not requ C Freight Charge D Other To Whom Pald K/A E Other To Whom Pald N/A Total Cash Price 2 Total Downpayment RD TITATE	(ies, services, and lexes)	creditife insurence or credit deability insurence will not be a tector is the credit exproval process. They will not be provided unless you sign and agree to pay the extra cost. If you choose this insurance, the cost is shown in item 4A of the itemization of Amount Financed. Credit file insurance is based on your original payment schedule. This insurance may not pay all you are on this contact if you make tale payments. Credit deability insurance does not cover any incredise in your payments or in the number of payments. Coverage for credit the insurance and credit disability insurance ends on the original due date for the last payment unless a different term for the insurance is shown below.
(Year) (Make) Gloss Trade in Alovance Less Pay Off Made By Seler Equals Not Trade in + Gash + Other M/A (It total downpsyment is negative, enter "0 3 Unpaid Balance of Cash Price (1 minus 2) 4 Other Charges Including Amounts Paid to Others (Seller may keep part of these emounts): A Oder of Optional Credit Insurance Paid to I	on Your Behalf	Other Optional Insurance N/A Type of Insurance N/A Premium \$ N/A Insurance Company Name N/A Home Office Address N/A Type of Insurance Term N/A Premium \$ N/A Insurance Company Name N/A Howe Company Name N/A Howe Office Address N/A Howe Office Address N/A

Paid to Institution Company	N/A	Long decision to pay ou usy pay opin objorn primaries aroun
C Other Optional Insurance Palet to Insurance Company or Compan	1 N/A	will not be a factor in the credit approval process. It will
Official Fees Paid to Government Agencies	726,60	not be provided unless you sign and egree to pay the extra cost.
E Government Taxes Not included in Cash Price F Government License and/or Registration Fees	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	I want the insurance checked above.
LIC/E-FILING/LIEN/TEMP TAG	, 187, 98	A I AND A MEDITING CHESTER SPOACE
	*	Quyer Signature Date
G Government Certificate of 19 Places (Includes \$	(fea) . 58.08	
H Optional Gap Contract	N/A	Co-Buyer Stgnalus Dalo
: Other Charge's (Selfer must Identify who is paid and		
describe purpose)	•	THE INTURANCE DOES NOT INDURE
To N/A for Prior Credit or Leaso 8	Rafanas e N/A	
to N/A for N/A	N/A	. The such box payer year har but of early
to RIA. for HIA	N/A	" THIS VEHICLE CALPUSCIC HADRINATE.
to N/A to for N/A	s N/A	1
10 JMZA : 101 BERVICE	1599.80	Rejurned Chack Charge: You agree to pay a charge
Total Other Charges and Amounts Paid to Others on Your Behalf	\$ 2562.8	(4) of \$ 15.00 If any check you give us is
5 Amount Finenced (9 + 4)	iaisa. a	0111
A Company of the Comp	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(5) dishonored on the second presentment
☐ YENDOR'S SILIGLE INTEREST INSURANCE (VSI insurance): If the preceding the trifal term of the contract to protect the Creditor for loss or damage to the yeth sole protection. This insurance does not protect your interest in the yethde. You the YSI insurance is obtained. If you leter to purchase VSI insurance is obtained. If you leter to purchase VSI insurance is	vio (collision, fire, fireff). Visit revealed in the Cre i may choose the insurance company through furugh the Creddor, the cost of this Insurar need. The coverage is for the trifial term of the con-	which convect) is not required to obtain good and will had be provided unless you sign below and egree to pay the extra charge. If you note is choose to buy a gap contract, the charge is shown in item 4H of the itemization of Amount Phranced. See your gap contract for details of the Itims and conditions it provides, it is a part of this cookact.
OPTION: You pay no finance charge if the Amount Fine	inced, item 5, is pold in full on or b	· 1844
		Name of Gap Contract
	•	- I want to buy a gap contract.
	· APX, 6 ;	Buyer Signs X
State law does not provide for a "cooling you may only cancel it if the seller agrees you change your mind. This notice does in the cooling to the seller agrees.	not apply to home solicita	tion sales.
and retain its right to receive a part of	f the Finance Charge.	er. The Seller may assign this contract
HOW THIS CONTRACT CAN BE CHANGED: This contract along agreement between you and us affecting this purchase. No gral agree to this contract compulse the entire agreement between you and the and (iii) no oral changes are binding. Buyer Signs	eemenis or understandings are binding. Up assignee relating to this contract; (ii) any ch C/1/1.() + 1.c()	on assignment of this contract; (i) only this contract and the addenda ange to this contract must be in writing and the assignee must sign it; Buyer Signs X
If any part of this contract is not valid, all other parts stay valid. We may extend the time for making some payments without extending the See back for other important agreements.	may delay or retrain from enlording any of	our rights under this contract without losing them. For example, we
NOTICE TO RETAIL BUYER: Do not sign this you sign. Keep it to protect your legal rights.		entitled to a copy of the contract at the time
You agree to the terms of this contract. You co free to take it and review it. You confirm that yo	ou received a completely fille	d-in popy when you signed it.
	DateC5/25/10 Co-Buyer Signs	
Co-Buyers and Other Comers — A co-buyer is a person who is resp does not have to pay the debt. The other owner agrees to the security		
Other owner signs here X	Address	المستويد المست
Selersigns <u>ÄNTHERPEN NISSAN</u>	Date 94/24/10 By X	THO FIRE FISH
Sofer assigns its interest in this contract to CAPITAL ONE A		(Assigned) there is terms of Galdra agreement(s) with Assignee.
Betwoon Hink Denghea CKARRIM REPRENTED	Assigned withest recourse.	Assigned with limited recourse FIN HGR
. Seter (· By	Tife
LAW FORM NO. 553-NO per une pitent no ches, in		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
CONT FORM NO. 553-00 get up the retent no. 0.00 712 course no control to the form of the f	\$20000000 \$1.1 \$20.531.9755	ТАОТН ІМ ЦЕМВІНО СОРУ

1. FINANCE CHARGE AND PAYMENTS

a. How we will figure Finance Charge. We will figure the Finance Charge on a daily basis at the Annual Percentage Rate on the unpaid part of the Amount Financed.

b. How we will apply payments. We may apply each payment to the earned and unpaid part of the Finance Charge, to the unpaid part of the Amount Financed and to other amounts you owe under this contract in

any order we choose.

c. How late payments or early payments change what you must pay. We based the Finance Charge, Total of Payments, and Total Sale Price shown on the iront on the assumption that you will make every payment on the day it is due. Your Finance Charge, Total of Payments, and Total Sale Price will be more if you pay late and less if you pay early. Changes may take the form of a larger or smaller final payment or, at our option, more or fewer payments of the same amount as your echeduled payment with a smaller final payment. We will send you a notice telling you about these changes before the final scheduled payment is due.

d. You may propay. You may prepay all or part of the unpaid part of the Amount Financed at any time without penalty. If you do so, you must pay the earned and unpaid part of the Finance Charge and all other amounts due up to the date of your payment.

2. YOUR OTHER PROMISES TO US

If the vehicle is damaged, destroyed, or missing.
 You agree to pay us all you owe under this contract even if the vehicle is damaged, destroyed, or missing.

- b. Using the vehicle. You agree not to remove the vehicle from the U.S. or Canada, or to eell, rent, lease, or transfer any interest in the vehicle or this contract without our written permission. You agree not to expose the vehicle to misuse, seizure, confiscation, or involuntary transfer. If we pay any repair bills, storage bills, taxes, lines, or charges on the vehicle, you agree to repay the amount when we ask for it.
- c. Security Interest.

You give us a security interest in:

- The vehicle and all parts or goods installed in it;
- All money or goods received (proceeds) for the vehicle;
- All insurance, maintenance, service, or other contracts we finance for you; and
- All proceeds from insurance, maintenance, service, or other contracts we finance for you. This includes any refunds of premiums or charges from the contracts.

This secures payment of all you owe on this contract. It also secures your other agraements in this contract. You will make sure the title shows our security interest (lien) in the vehicle.

d. Insurance you must have on the vehicle.

You agree to have physical damage insurance covering loss of or damage to the vehicle for the term of this contract. The insurance must cover our interest in the vehicle. If you do not have this insurance, we may, if we choose, buy physical damage insurance. If we decide to buy physical damage insurance, we may either huv insurance that covers your interest and our

If you pay late, we may also take the steps described below.
You may have to pay all you owe at once. If you break your promises (default), we may demand that you pay all you owe on this contract at once, subject to any right the law gives you to reinstate this contract. Default means:

You do not pay any payment on time;

You give false, incomplete, or misleading information on a credit application;

- You start a proceeding in bankruptcy or one is started against you or your property; or
- · You break any agreements in this contract.

The amount you will give will be the unpaid part of the Amount Financed plus the earned and unpaid part of the Finance Charge, any late charges, and any amounts due because you defaulted.

- c. You may have to pay collection costs, if you hire an attorney to collect what you owe, you will pay the attorney's reasonable fee, as the law allows. You will also pay any court and collection costs we incur as the law allows.
- d. We may take the vehicle from you. If you default, we may take (repossess) the vehicle from you if we do so peacefully and the law allows it. We may repossess the vehicle with or without resort to judicial process. If your vehicle has an electronic tracking device, you agree that we may use the device to find the vehicle. If we take the vehicle, any accessories, equipment, and replacement parts will stay with the vehicle. If any personal items are in the vehicle, we may store them for you at your expense. If you do not ask for these items back, we may dispose of them as the law allows.
- How you can get the vehicle back if we take it. If we repossess the vehicle, in many situations, the law gives you the right to pay to get it back. We will tell you what you have to do to get the vehicle back.
- f: We will sell the vehicle if you do not get it back. If you a do not do what is required to get the vehicle back, we will sell the vehicle. We will send you a written notice of sale before selling the vehicle.

We will apply the money from the sale, less allowed expenses, to the amount you owe. Allowed expenses are expenses we pay as a direct result of taking the vehicle, holding it, preparing it for sale, and selling it as the law allows. Attorney fees and court costs the law permits are also allowed expenses. If any money is left (surplus), we will pay it to you unless the law requires us to pay it to someone else. If money from the sale is not enough to pay the amount you owe, you must pay the rest to us unless the law provides otherwise. If you do not pay this amount when we ask, we may charge you interest at a rate not exceeding the highest lawful rate until you pay.

g. What we may do about optional insurance, maintenance, service, or other contracts. This contract may contain charges for optional insurance, maintenance, service, or other contracts. If we demand that you pay all you owe at once or we repossess the vehicle, we may claim benefits under these contracts and cancel them to obtain refunds of unearned charges to reduce what you owe or repair the vehicle. If the vehicle is a total loss because it is confiscated, damaged, or stolen, we may claim benefits under these contracts and cancel them to obtain refunds of unearned charges to reduce what you owe.

4. WARHANTIES SELLER DISCLAIMS

only our littlerest. If we buy either type of insurance, we will tell you which type and the charge you milet pay. The charge will be the premium of the insurance and a finance charge equal to the Annual Percentage Rate shown on the front of this contract or, at our option, the highest rate the law permits.

If the vehicle is lost or damaged, you agree that we may use any insurance settlement to reduce what you

owe or repair the vehicle.

What happens to returned insurance, maintenance, service, or other contract charges. If we get a refund of insurance, maintenance, service, or other contract charges, we may subtract the refund from what you owe.

3. IF YOU PAY LATE, OR BREAK YOUR OTHER PROMISES

a. You may owe late charges. If a payment is not received in full within 15 days after it is due, you will pay a late charge of 10% of the part of the payment that is late, with a minimum charge of \$5. Acceptance of a late payment or late charge does not excuse your late payment or mean that you may keep making late payments.

covering the vehicle that the vehicle manufacturer may provide. It does not apply at all like the bought the vehicle primarily for party of the primarily for party of the primarily for party of the primarily for the primarily fo

Unless the seller makes a written warranty, or enters into a service contract winings days from the date of this contract, the Seller makes no warranties express or implied, on the vehicle, and there will be no implied warranties of merchantability or of fitness for a particular purpose.

- 5. Used Car Buyers Guide. The information you see on the window form for this vehicle is part of this contract. Information on the window form everifies any contrary provisions in the contract of sale see Spanish Translation: Guía para compradores de vehículos usados. La información que ve su el formulario de la ventanilla para este vehículo forma parte del presente contrato. La información del formulario de la ventanilla deja sin efecto toda disposición en contrario contenida en el contrato de venta.
- Applicable Law Federal law and Maryland law apply to this contract. This contract shall be subject to the Credit Grantor Closed End Credit Provisions (Subtitle 10) of Title 12 of the Commercial Law Article of the Maryland Code.

NOTICE TO BUYER(S) OF NEW VEHICLES: IF YOU ARE PURCHASING A NEW VEHICLE WHICH IS SUBJECT TO A MANUFACTURER'S EXPRESS WARRANTY AND THE VEHICLE DOES NOT CONFORM TO THAT WARRANTY DURING THE WARRANTY PERIOD, YOU MUST GIVE WRITTEN NOTICE OF THE NONCONFORMITY, DEFECT OR CONDITION TO THE MANUFACTURER OR FACTORY BRANCH DURING THE WARRANTY PERIOD BY CERTIFIED MAIL, RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED, IN ORDER TO PRESERVE YOUR RIGHTS UNDER THE MARYLAND AUTOMOTIVE WARRANTY ENFORCEMENT ACT.

NOTICE: ANY HOLDER OF THIS CONSUMER CREDIT CONTRACT IS SUBJECT TO ALL CLAIMS AND DEFENSES WHICH THE DEBTOR COULD ASSERT AGAINST THE SELLER OF GOODS OR SERVICES OBTAINED PURSUANT HERETO OR WITH THE PROCEEDS HEREOF, RECOVERY HEREUNDER BY THE DEBTOR SHALL NOT EXCEED AMOUNTS PAID BY THE DEBTOR HEREUNDER.

The preceding NOTICE applies only if the "personal, family or household" box in the "Primary Use for Which Purchased" section of this contract is checked. In all other cases, Buyer will not assert against any subsequent holder or assignee of this contract any claims or defenses the Buyer (debtor) may have against the Seller, or against the manufacturer of the vehicle or equipment obtained under this contract.