



ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

MARYLAND JUDICIAL CENTER
580 TAYLOR AVENUE
ANNAPOLIS, MARYLAND 21401

Pamela Harris
State Court Administrator
410-260-1295

Questions/Responses No. 2 to the Request for Proposal (RFP) K16-0012-29

Five IT Support Resources

August 5, 2015

Ladies and Gentlemen:

The following questions for the above referenced RFP were received by e-mail and are answered and posted for all prospective Offerors who received the RFP. The statements and interpretations contained in the following responses to questions are not binding to the Maryland Judiciary unless the RFP is expressly amended. Nothing in the Maryland Judiciary's response to these questions is to be construed as agreement to or acceptance by the Maryland Judiciary of any statement or interpretation on the part of the Offeror asking the question.

5. Question: Does the responding firm need to be licensed to do business in Maryland or is this solicitation open for all?

Response: Offeror must be licensed to do business in Maryland at the time of award (see RFP Section 1.26).

6. Question: Will responses from all the Offerors be accepted or only from those who are pre-qualified under any previous vendor pool program?

Response: There is no pre-qualification; this is a stand-alone RFP. All Offerors that meet the requirements of the RFP will be considered for award.

7. Question: It appears that the IT resources in this solicitation are the same ones that were amended from the PROJECT #K15-0037-29 AY16 IT Support Service Resources solicitation. Specifically, I am referring to the Senior IT Specialist

SP/PM, Oracle Database/Weblogic Administrator and JAVA Engineer/Analyst. Since the amendment was issued after the K15-0037-29 AY16 IT Support Service Resources proposals were submitted, are we to assume that all candidates who were submitted in that effort did not have the proper qualifications for award? If not, will those candidates be eligible to be submitted in this current solicitation?

Response: The AOC's decision not to award the above mentioned positions on RFP K15-0037-29 is not necessarily a reflection of the quality of the candidates submitted.

Offerors may re-submit their candidates from RFP K15-0037-29 for the current RFP K16-0012-29, if those are still their top candidates.

8. Question: What are the rates currently paid to the vendor(s) for the staffed positions?

Response: This information is irrelevant to the submission of your proposal.

Issued by: Khrystine Bunche/Yashica Forrester

Procurement Officer