

FRANK BROCCOLINA STATE COURT ADMINISTRATOR (410) 260-1295 Fax: (410) 974-2066 frank.broccolina@mdcourts.gov

FAYE D. MATTHEWS DEPUTY STATE COURT ADMINISTRATOR (410) 260-1257 Fax: (410) 974-2066 faye.matthews@mdcourts.gov

SHARON SAMPSON BALL, Director Human Resources (410) 260-1283 Fax: (410) 974-2849 sharon.ball@mdcourts.gov

GRAY BARTON, Director Office of Problem-Solving Courts (410) 260-3617 Fax: (410) 260-3620 gray.barton@mdcourts.gov

MARK BITTNER, Director Judicial Information Systems (410) 260-1001 Fax: (410) 974-7170 mark.bittner@mdcourts.gov

ALLEN C. CLARK, III, Director Budget & Finance (410) 260-1579 Fax: (410) 260-1290 allen.clark@mdcourts.gov

DAVID R. DURFEE JR., Director Legal Affairs (410) 260-1405 Fax: (410) 974-2066 <u>david.durfee@mdcourts.gov</u>

SUSAN HOWELLS, Director Procurement & Contract Admin. (410) 260-1410 Fax: (410) 260-2520 susan.howells@mdcourts.gov

CONNIE KRATOVIL-LAVELLE, Director Family Administration (410) 260-1296 Fax: (410) 974-5577 connie.kratovil-lavelle@mdcourts.gov

PAMELA C. ORTIZ, Director Access to Justice Commission (410) 260-1258 Fax: (410) 260-2504 pamela.ortiz@mdcourts.gov

DIANE S. PAWLOWICZ, Director Court Operations Department (410) 260-1725 Fax: (410) 260-2503 diane.pawlowicz@mdcourts.gov

JESSICA PITTS, Director Emergency Preparedness & Court Security (410) 260-3515 Fax: (410) 260-2505 jessica.pitts@mdcourts.gov

ROXANNE P. McKAGAN Director, Administrative Services (410) 260-1407 Fax: (410) 974-2066 rocky.mckagan@mdcourts.gov

DEBORAH A. UNITUS Director, Program Services (410) 260-1291 Fax: (410) 260-3570 deborah.unitus@mdcourts.gov

## ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS MARYLAND JUDICIAL CENTER 2003 C COMMERCE PARK DRIVE ANNAPOLIS, MARYLAND 21401

Questions/Responses No. 2 to the Small Procurement Request for Proposal (RFP) Visual Design Services May 25, 2012

Ladies and Gentlemen:

The following questions for the above referenced RFP were received by email and are answered and posted for all prospective Offerors who received the RFP. The statements and interpretations contained in the following responses to questions are not binding on the Maryland Judiciary unless the RFP is expressly amended. Nothing in the Maryland Judiciary's response to these questions is to be construed as agreement to or acceptance by the Maryland Judiciary of any statement or interpretation on the part of the Offeror asking the question.

1. Question: The RFP requests "visual design services" for the Maryland Judiciary's website. However, the RFP also requests the "use of standard coding" and the delivery of "source code" at the final meeting. Is the goal to have the vendor design templates and develop the site, or just design templates? If it is only design, I'm not sure what "coding" you would be looking for.

We would certainly design the site and provide all design files to the Maryland Judiciary, along with all graphics, fonts, style guidelines, etc. Any additional insight on the coding request is appreciated.

## **Response:** The coding refers to the HTML code used to create the visual design of the home page and subordinate page templates. The Contractor will not be developing the site.

2. Question: Our current understanding is that the deliverables for this project are to be a new design and HTML / CSS templates. The Questions / Responses No. 1 document indicates that you do not use a CMS, so it is our assumption that this project will not entail the implementation of one. Please confirm that our understanding of the scope is accurate.

## Response: The project does not entail the implementation of a CMS.

3. Question: From Question #6 in the Questions and Responses No. 1 document, the answer says "we are interested in using responsive design and also progressive enhancement." Should project price include costs associated with responsive design and progressive enhancement?

Response: Yes.

4. Question: From RFP, page 6, Deliverables—Is there any flexibility in the schedule if the timeframe remained the same? So, for example, could 1.3.2 Meeting One increase to 3 weeks if 1.3.4 Meeting Three was reduced to 1 week?

**Response:** Yes, there is flexibility in the schedule, but the project will not be extended beyond the timeframe.

Date Issued: May 25, 2012 Anna Pfeifer, Procurement Officer

TTY Users: 1-800-735-2258

www.mdcourts.gov

JUDICIARY