



**ADMINISTRATIVE
OFFICE OF THE COURTS**

GOVERNMENT RELATIONS
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY
INTERNAL AFFAIRS
JUDICIAL COLLEGE OF MARYLAND
OPERATIONS
PROGRAMS

**Questions/Responses No. 2 to the
Request for Proposals (RFP) K16-0078-40
District Court Self-Help Centers and the Maryland Courts Self-Help Centers**

Ladies and Gentlemen:

The following questions for the above referenced RFP were received by e-mail and are answered and posted for all prospective Offerors. The statements and interpretations contained in the following responses to questions are not binding on the Judiciary unless the RFP is expressly amended. Nothing in the Judiciary's response to these questions is to be construed as agreement to or acceptance by the Judiciary of any statement or interpretation on the part of the Offeror asking the question.

1. Question: In the current RFP, there is no provision in the Attachment E, Price Proposal forms to propose hourly rates for the two potential renewal option periods. Is it the RFP's assumption that vendors are going to be required to provide services for those option periods at the same rate as the Year 3 base period or, is there a need for expansion of the Attachment E-Price Proposal form to include the two additional option years?
2. Response: Yes, vendors are going to be required to provide services for those option periods at the same rate as the Year 3 base period. You can add an expansion to the Attachment E-Price Proposal form to include the two additional option years?

Issued by: April Molley
Procurement Officer
April 19, 2016