


Maryland Judiciary

Fiscal Year 2018

Statewide Caseflow Assessment

Circuit Courts



Administrative Office of the Courts

November 2019

Table of Contents

Main Analysis.....	1
Within-Standard Percentages	2
Average Case Processing Times	4
Median Case Processing Times	5
Distribution of Over-Standard Cases	6
Postponements	7
Suspensions.....	8
Appendix A: Circuit Courts Within-Standard Percentages & Overall and Over-Standard Average and Median Case Processing Times, by Case Type and Jurisdiction	15
Appendix B: Circuit Courts Statewide Distribution of Over-Standard Cases	22
Appendix C: Circuit Courts Percent of Cases Terminated Within Standard, by Jurisdiction Fiscal Years 2014 through 2018*	32

Main Analysis

Case time standards are central to the Maryland Judiciary’s mission to provide fair, efficient, and effective justice for all. This report presents the analysis of case processing performance in Maryland’s Circuit Courts for Fiscal Year 2018 and is based on samples of original terminations from Circuit Court jurisdictions for the following case types: Criminal, Civil General, Foreclosure, Family Law (one-year standard), Limited Divorce (two-year standard), Juvenile Delinquency, Child in Need of Assistance (CINA) Shelter, CINA Non-Shelter, and Termination of Parental Rights (TPR). Foreclosure cases, previously reported under Civil General, were added as a new case type in Fiscal Year 2016. Samples of up to 518 original terminations were used for each case type, yielding a grand total of 44,398 cases for analysis (less invalid terminations).¹

Weighted figures are computed for instances in which data is displayed in the aggregate (i.e., statewide percentages of cases closed within standard, average, and median case times by jurisdiction size) to reflect each jurisdiction’s contribution to overall terminations, by case type.

Case processing performance by jurisdiction and case type is provided in Appendix C of this report.²

¹ Cases without case start dates and those with negative case processing times (i.e., case stop dates occurring before start dates) were excluded from the current analysis. Supplemental analyses were conducted on the invalid cases to improve data quality and reporting.

² Due to the transition to a new case management system, the Circuit Court for Baltimore County was excused from conducting any data quality review ahead of the Fiscal Year 2018 analysis of case processing performance.

Within-Standard Percentages

As seen in Table 1, statewide case processing performance in Fiscal Year 2018 remained largely stable across three case types (Criminal, Civil General, and Family Law) showing no change from Fiscal Year 2017.

Juvenile Delinquency case processing performance improved noticeably (3%), with 98% of cases within standard in Fiscal Year 2018. Limited Divorce case processing performance also increased (2%), with 96% of cases within standard. Declines in case processing performance were observed in Foreclosure, CINA Shelter, CINA Non-Shelter, and TPR cases, with 90%, 70%, 92%, and 66% of cases, respectively, terminated within standard in Fiscal Year 2018, compared to 92%, 73%, 94%, and 69%, respectively, in Fiscal Year 2017.

Appendix C displays the statewide percentages of cases terminated within standard by case type for Fiscal Years 2014 to 2018, as well as the percentages of cases terminated within standard by case type for each county.

Table 1. Valid Terminations and Percent of Cases Terminated Within Standard (Weighted) by Case Type, Circuit Courts, Fiscal Years 2017 and 2018

Case Type	Judiciary Goals		Fiscal Year 2018 Valid Terminations	Within-Standard Terminations			Fiscal Year 17-18 Change
				Fiscal Year 2018		Fiscal Year 2017 % ^a	
	Time Standard	Percent Within Standard		N	%* (weighted)		
Criminal	180 days	98%	9,896	8,928	87%	87%	0%
Civil General ^b	548 days	98%	7,580	7,151	94%	94%	0%
Foreclosure ^c	730 days	98%	7,279	6,725	90%	92%	-2%
Family Law	365 days	98%	10,566	9,940	92%	92%	0%
Limited Divorce	730 days	98%	2,135	2,043	96%	94%	+2%
Juvenile Delinquency	90 days	98%	4,646	4,424	98%	95%	+3%
CINA Shelter	30 days	100%	1,489	1,114	70%	73%	-3%
CINA Non-Shelter	60 days	100%	446	412	92%	94%	-2%
TPR	180 days	100%	361	237	66%	69%	-3%

^a Percentages of cases closed within the Time Standards are weighted averages of jurisdiction-specific statistics.

^b The Circuit Court Civil General time standard is 98% of cases closed within 18 months (548 days) of filing. The District Court Civil time standard initiates at service, with the associated goal of closing 98% of Civil Large cases in 250 days and 98% of Civil Small cases in 120 days.

^c Foreclosure was added as a separate case type beginning Fiscal Year 2016. Foreclosure cases were previously reported under Civil General.

An examination of case processing performance by jurisdiction size (as determined by the number of judges in a given county) illustrates the impact of high case volume or alternatively on efficiencies of scale. (See Table 2). It should be noted that a large county was excluded from the data quality review for this year's analysis due to the transition to a new case management system.

The impact of jurisdiction size is particularly evident in a case type with wide variability by jurisdiction size: Family Law. Fiscal Year 2018 shows a 92% statewide within-standard percentage for Family Law cases, with large jurisdictions performing at 89% within standard, compared to 91% in Fiscal Year 2017. Small (96%), medium (96%), and medium-large (95%) jurisdictions again performed above the statewide within-standard percentage for Family Law cases.

As with previous years, large Circuit Court jurisdictions collectively performed at the highest rate in the Juvenile Delinquency case type in Fiscal Year 2018, at 98% within standard. Large jurisdiction Circuit Courts increased performance from Fiscal Year 2017 to Fiscal Year 2018 in Juvenile Delinquency from, 96% to 98% within standard and Limited Divorce, from 93% to 95% within standard. Large jurisdiction Circuit Courts performed below the statewide percentage within-standard in TPR cases (66% statewide compared to 57% for large jurisdictions) and Family Law (92% statewide compared to 89%). For all other case types, these courts were within 2% of the statewide within-standard percentage.

Medium-large jurisdiction courts performed at their highest rate in Limited Divorce cases, with 98% within standard. Medium-large jurisdiction Circuit Courts performed above the statewide percentage within standard in all case types except Juvenile Delinquency.

Medium jurisdiction courts performed at their highest rate in Family Law cases with 96% within standard. These courts performed within 1% or were above the statewide within-standard percentage for all other case types.

Small Circuit Court jurisdictions performed, collectively, at the highest rate among the size classifications in Fiscal Year 2018 in the Limited Divorce case type. These courts performed within 2% or were above the statewide within-standard percent for all case types except CINA Shelter and TPR.

Finally, a comparison of Table 2 and Table A-2 in Appendix A illustrates the impact that the performance of large jurisdictions has on the statewide within-standard percentages, due to the higher volume of cases terminated in larger jurisdictions.

Table 2. Percent of Cases Closed Within Standard (Weighted) as a Function of Jurisdiction Size and Case Type, Circuit Courts, Fiscal Year 2018

Case Type	Time Standard	Judiciary Goals	Statewide Within-Standard Percentage*	Jurisdiction Size ^a			
				Small	Medium	Medium-Large	Large ^b
Criminal	180 days	98%	87%	95%	93%	88%	85%
Civil General	548 days	98%	94%	96%	94%	95%	93%
Foreclosure	730 days	98%	90%	95%	94%	93%	89%
Family Law	365 days	98%	92%	96%	96%	95%	89%
Limited Divorce	730 days	98%	96%	99%	95%	98%	95%
Juvenile Delinquency	90 days	98%	98%	96%	95%	92%	98%
CINA Shelter	30 days	100%	70%	58%	73%	74%	70%
CINA Non-Shelter	60 days	100%	92%	91%	92%	94%	92%
TPR	180 days	100%	66%	57%	88%	89%	57%

^a Percentages of cases closed within the Time Standards are weighted averages of jurisdiction-specific statistics.

^b One large jurisdiction was excluded from data quality review for the Fiscal Year 2018 analysis.

Average Case Processing Times

Statewide overall within-standard and over-standard average case processing times in the Circuit Courts for Fiscal Year 2018 are provided in Table 3. The statewide overall average case processing times were within standard for each case type except CINA Shelter and TPR cases in Fiscal Year 2018.

Reductions in overall average case processing times from Fiscal Year 2017 to Fiscal Year 2018 were observed in Criminal (4 days), Family Law (3 days), Civil General (8 days), Foreclosure (13 days), Limited Divorce (12 days), and Juvenile Delinquency (4 days). CINA shelter, CINA Non-Shelter, and TPR cases showed increased overall average case processing times Fiscal Year 2017 to Fiscal Year 2018.

A comparison of average time to disposition within-standard to over-standard revealed that the average over-standard ranged widely, from approximately 2.4 times as long in TPR cases to 4.5 times as long for Juvenile Delinquency cases. The next largest discrepancy was for Family Law cases with over-standard average case processing times 4.0 times longer than the average within-standard processing times. CINA Shelter (3.8 times), Criminal (3.6 times), CINA Non-Shelter and Civil General (3.5 times), Limited Divorce (3.3 times), and Foreclosure (2.9 times) also varied in within-standard and over-standard average time to disposition.

Table 3. Average Overall, Within- and Over-Standard Case Processing Time (Weighted) by Case Type, Circuit Courts, Fiscal Year 2018

Case Type	Time Standard	Fiscal Year 2018 Average Case Time (in days)*			Fiscal Year 2017 Overall Average Case Time
		Overall	Within-Standard	Over-Standard	
Criminal	180 days	114	84	302	110
Civil General	548 days	241	207	729	249
Foreclosure	730 days	382	323	932	395
Family Law	365 days	169	131	526	172
Limited Divorce	730 days	307	276	913	319
Juvenile Delinquency	90 days	39	35	156	43
CINA Shelter	30 days	44	23	87	35
CINA Non-Shelter	60 days	39	33	116	37
TPR	180 days	192	130	312	173

*Average case times (in days) are weighted averages of jurisdiction-specific statistics.

Median Case Processing Times

Table 4 provides the statewide overall within-standard and over-standard median case processing times (the middle value in the distribution of case processing times from lowest to greatest case time) in the Circuit Courts for Fiscal Year 2018. Median case times are useful to examine as they are less affected by cases with extreme case lengths (outliers), whereas the average is more heavily influenced by outliers.

The overall median case processing time was below the time standard for all case types in Fiscal Year 2018. By comparison, the overall average case processing time was longer than the time standard in CINA Shelter and TPR cases. This highlights the impact of outliers on some measures of case processing. Further, the differences in number of days between the average and median case processing times were as follows, with the median always shorter: Criminal (18 days), Civil General (39 days), Foreclosure (39 days), Family Law (38 days), Limited Divorce (40 days), Juvenile Delinquency (5 days), CINA Shelter (17 days), CINA Non-Shelter (4 days) and TPR (30 days). Having averages that are greater than medians indicates that cases with extremely long case times had a larger effect on the average than cases with extremely short case times.

A comparison of median time to disposition within-standard to over-standard revealed that the over-standard ranged widely, from about 2.2 times as long in TPR cases to 4.0 times as long for Family Law cases. The next largest discrepancy between median within-standard and over-standard was for Civil General, which was 3.7 times as large for the over-standard median than the within-standard median. Juvenile Delinquency (3.6 times), Limited Divorce (3.4 times), CINA Shelter (3.0 times), and Foreclosure and CINA Shelter (2.7 times) also varied in within-standard and over-standard median time to disposition.

Table 4. Median Overall, Within- and Over-Standard Case Processing Time (Weighted) by Case Type, Circuit Courts, Fiscal Year 2018

Case Type	Time Standard	Fiscal Year 2018 Median Case Time (in days)*			Fiscal Year 2017 Overall Median Case Time
		Overall	Within-Standard	Over-Standard	
Criminal	180 days	96	82	259	87
Civil General	548 days	202	183	672	211
Foreclosure	730 days	343	315	866	362
Family Law	365 days	131	116	463	137
Limited Divorce	730 days	267	258	876	272
Juvenile Delinquency	90 days	34	33	120	37
CINA Shelter	30 days	27	24	71	27
CINA Non-Shelter	60 days	35	33	88	35
TPR	180 days	162	132	289	162

**Median case times (in days) are weighted averages of jurisdiction-specific statistics.*

Distribution of Over-Standard Cases

Given that over-standard cases can take anywhere from 2.4 to 4.5 times as long as within-standard cases to reach disposition, it is useful to examine how over-standard cases are dispersed over time. Table 5 provides data on the statewide distribution of cases closed past the case time standard goals, by case type. Appendix B contains diagrams on the distribution of cases closed over standard in Fiscal Year 2018, by case type.

Both CINA Non- Shelter and CINA Shelter have a relatively large proportion of cases that are disposed within one week of the time standard (38% and 21% of cases, respectively) and within one month of the time standard (56% and 52%, respectively). Additionally, the largest number of cases to be disposed within one week of the time standard were CINA Shelter cases at 80 cases. The time to close 50% of CINA Shelter and CINA Non-Shelter cases was 4 weeks and 3.2 weeks over standard, respectively. Another case type showing a relatively fast case closure after the time standard was Juvenile Delinquency, with 18% (39 cases) closing within one week and 50% (112 cases) closing within one month.

By contrast, Limited Divorce cases had 2% (2 cases) close within one week and 9% (8 cases) within one month. Similarly, Foreclosure cases had 5% (24 cases) close within one week and 14% (73 cases) close within one month.

Table 5. Percent of Over-Standard Cases Closed Shortly Beyond the Time Standard and Time Required to Close 50% of Over-Standard Cases by Case Type, Circuit Courts, Fiscal Year 2018

Case Type	Time Standard	Number of Over-Standard Cases	% of Over-Standard Cases Closing Over Standard*				Time to Close 50% of Over-Standard Cases
			Within 1 week		Within 1 month		
Criminal	180 days	968	7%	69 cases	25%	245 cases	2.5 months
Civil General	548 days	429	5%	22 cases	15%	64 cases	3.8 months
Foreclosure	730 days	524	5%	24 cases	14%	73 cases	4.8 months
Family Law	365 days	626	4%	28 cases	18%	111 cases	3.1 months
Limited Divorce	730 days	92	2%	2 cases	9%	8 cases	7.3 months
Juvenile Delinquency	90 days	222	18%	39 cases	50%	112 cases	1 month
CINA Shelter	30 days	375	21%	80 cases	52%	195 cases	4 weeks
CINA Non-Shelter	60 days	34	38%	13 cases	56%	19 cases	3.2 weeks
TPR	180 days	124	5%	6 cases	26%	32 cases	2.6 months

*The aggregate percent of cases closing (just) over their respective time standards are **not** weighted; therefore, caution should be used when generalizing this information to the statewide level.

Postponements

As part of the Caseflow Assessment process, we track the number and proportion of cases containing one or more postponements; court personnel verify this information in the case records for accuracy. For purposes of this analysis, a “case with valid postponement information” is defined as a case with either valid information in the “number of postponements” data field or postponement reasons provided, except for where both the number and reason fields indicated no postponement.

Cases with “matching postponement information” are those where the number of identified postponements matches the number of postponement reasons. Cases with “mismatched postponement information” are those where, (1) a postponement is identified but no reason is provided, (2) the number of postponements and the number of postponement reasons do not match, or (3) no postponement is identified based on the number of postponements but postponement reasons are provided.

As seen in Table 6, the highest postponement rates in the Fiscal Year 2018 Assessment was among TPR cases (45%), followed by Juvenile Delinquency (42%). The number of cases with postponements showed the greatest decline from Fiscal Year 2017 to Fiscal Year 2018 among Family Law (from 13% to 9% of cases). The lowest postponement rates in Fiscal Year 2018 were in Foreclosure (9%), Family Law (9%), and Civil General (15%) case types.

Table 6. Number and Percent of Cases with Postponement Information by the Match Between the Number of Postponements and Postponement Reasons, by Case Type, Circuit Courts, Fiscal Year 2018

Case Type	Fiscal Year 2018 Valid Terminations	Cases with Valid Postponement Information*			Matching Postponement Information**		Mismatched Postponement Information***	
		N	%	FY 2017 %	N	%	N	%
Criminal	9,896	3,733	37%	39%	3,212	86%	521	14%
Civil General	7,580	1,142	15%	15%	991	87%	151	13%
Foreclosure	7,279	686	9%	12%	618	90%	68	10%
Family Law	10,566	962	9%	13%	809	84%	153	16%
Limited Divorce	2,135	566	27%	27%	503	89%	63	11%
Juvenile Delinquency	4,646	1,947	42%	36%	1,663	85%	284	15%
CINA Shelter	1,489	480	32%	33%	431	90%	49	10%
CINA Non-Shelter	446	147	33%	32%	129	88%	18	12%
TPR	361	164	45%	39%	136	83%	28	17%

*Excludes cases with no postponements and no postponement reasons listed

**Total number of cases in which the number of postponement reasons provided matches the postponement count

***Total number of cases in which the number of postponement reasons provided does not match the postponement count

Suspensions

The Maryland Judiciary's case time standards provide for the suspension of case time if certain events occur that remove the court's ability to advance the case. The Assessment Application extracts suspension start and stop dates either from the county or statewide case management systems. The Administrative Office of the Courts asks clerk office staff to review and, if necessary, to correct suspension information contained in Assessment data. As this review is strongly suggested but not mandatory, variation in the completeness and accuracy of suspension information is likely. Therefore, the assessment application relies on the accuracy of data entry by the clerks processing the case. *See* Table 7 for the number and rate of suspension events in the Circuit Courts, and the degree to which they contain valid data (i.e., no missing suspension start or stop dates and a positive value for the time from suspension start to suspension stop).

Less than 1% of Limited Divorce cases, and only 1% of CINA Shelter and CINA Non-Shelter cases contained a suspension event in Fiscal Year 2018. Similar to Fiscal Year 2017, Foreclosure cases had the largest percentage of suspension events (23%).

Table 7. Suspensions with Valid and Invalid Data as a Function of Case Type, Circuit Courts, Fiscal Year 2018

Case Type	Fiscal Year 2018 Valid Terminations	Cases with One or More Suspensions (N, %)*	Overall Suspensions		
			Total Suspensions	With Valid Data (N, %)**	Without Valid Data (N, %)***
Criminal	9,896	1,572 (16%)	1,861	1,850 (99%)	11 (1%)
Civil General	7,580	92 (2%)	99	83 (80%)	16 (20%)
Foreclosure	7,279	1,677 (23%)	2,145	1,971 (92%)	174 (8%)
Family Law	10,566	1,590 (15%)	1,660	1,088 (77%)	572 (23%)
Limited Divorce	2,135	10 (<1%)	12	6 (50%)	6 (50%)
Juvenile Delinquency	4,646	954 (21%)	1,180	1,115 (94%)	65 (6%)
CINA Shelter	1,489	12 (1%)	14	9 (60%)	5 (40%)
CINA Non-Shelter	446	6 (<1%)	7	7 (100%)	0 (0%)
TPR	361	0 (0%)	0	-	-
Total	44,398	5,913 (13%)	6,978	6,129 (88%)	849 (12%)

*Percent of valid terminations

**Suspensions with no missing start or stop dates and with a positive number for the time from suspension start to suspension stop. Percent of total suspensions.

***Suspensions missing either a suspension start or stop date, or the time from suspension start to suspension stop was a negative number. Percent of total suspensions.

Detail on the nature of suspensions with “invalid” data (i.e., missing a suspension start or stop date or with a negative suspension time recorded) by case type in Fiscal Year 2018 is provided in Table 8. Tables 9 through 17 present the statewide number of valid and invalid suspensions, by event, for each of the Circuit Court case types in Fiscal Year 2018. As detailed in Table 8, CINA Non-Shelter and TPR cases each contained entirely valid suspension data in Fiscal Year 2018 (as they did in Fiscal Years 2016 and 2017).

Table 8. Invalid Suspension Data as a Function of Case Type, Circuit Courts, Fiscal Year 2018

Case Type	Without Valid Data (N, %)*	Suspensions with Invalid Data by Error Type		
		Missing Stop Date (N, %)**	Missing Start Date (N, %)**	Negative Susp. Time (N, %)**
Criminal	11 (1%)	10 (91%)	0 (30%)	1 (9%)
Civil General	16 (20%)	16 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Foreclosure	174 (8%)	151 (87%)	11 (6%)	12 (7%)
Family Law	572 (23%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	572 (100%)
Limited Divorce	6 (50%)	6 (60%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Juvenile Delinquency	65 (6%)	37 (71%)	18 (22%)	10 (7%)
CINA Shelter	5 (36%)	3 (60%)	0 (0%)	2 (40%)
CINA Non-Shelter	-	-	-	-
TPR	-	-	-	-
Total	849 (12%)	223 (26%)	29 (4%)	597 (70%)

*Percent of total suspensions.

**Percent of invalid suspensions.

Table 9. Suspension Data for Criminal Cases, Circuit Courts, Fiscal Year 2018

Suspension Event	Total Susp. N	Valid Suspensions N (%)*	Invalid Susp. N (%)*	Invalid Suspensions		
				Missing Stop N (%)**	Missing Start N (%)**	Negative Susp. Time N (%)**
FTA 1	1,386	1,386 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
FTA 2	175	173 (99%)	2 (1%)	2(100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
FTA 3	19	19 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
Mistrial	22	22 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
NCR Evaluation	32	31 (88%)	1 (12%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)
Reverse Waiver Petition	43	43 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
Competency Evaluation***	112	110 (98%)	2 (2%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Interlocutory Appeal	11	10 (90%)	1 (10%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Military Leave	1	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	1(100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Problem-Solving Court Diversion	2	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
DNA/Forensic Evidence	16	12 (75%)	4 (25%)	4 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Psychological Evaluation	42	42 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
Total	1,861	1,850 (99%)	11 (1%)	10 (91%)	0 (30%)	1 (9%)

* Percent of total suspensions.; ** Percent of invalid suspensions, by suspension event.

***Includes both the original and additional competency evaluation suspension date fields.

Table 10. Suspension Data for Civil General Cases, Circuit Courts, Fiscal Year 2018

Suspension Event	Total Suspensions N	Valid Suspensions N, (%)*	Invalid Suspensions N, (%)*	Missing Stop Date N, (%)**	Missing Start Date N, (%)**	Negative Susp. Time N, (%)**
Bankruptcy***	88	72 (82%)	16 (18%)	16 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Non-Binding Arbitration	7	7 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
Interlocutory Appeal	3	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
Military Leave	0	-	-	-	-	-
FTA 1	1	1(100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
FTA 2	0	-	-	-	-	-
FTA 3	0	-	-	-	-	-
Mistrial	0	-	-	-	-	-
Receivership	0	-	-	-	-	-
Total	99	83 (80%)	16 (20%)	16 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)

*Percent of total suspensions, by suspension event

**Percent of invalid suspensions, by suspension event

***Includes both the original and additional bankruptcy suspension date fields

Table 11. Suspension Data for Foreclosure Cases, Circuit Courts, Fiscal Year 2018

Suspension Event	Total Suspensions N	Valid Suspensions N, (%)*	Invalid Suspensions N, (%)*	Missing Stop Date N, (%)**	Missing Start Date N, (%)**	Negative Susp. Time N, (%)**
Bankruptcy***	1,097	950 (87%)	147 (13%)	128 (87%)	8 (5%)	11 (8%)
Foreclosure Mediation	1,039	1012 (97%)	27 (3%)	23 (85%)	3 (11%)	1 (4%)
Non-Binding Arbitration	0	-	-	-	-	-
Interlocutory Appeal	6	6 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
Military Leave	1	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
FTA 1	0	-	-	-	-	-
FTA 2	0	-	-	-	-	-
FTA 3	0	-	-	-	-	-
Mistrial	0	-	-	-	-	-
Receivership	2	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
Total	2,145	1,971 (92%)	174 (8%)	151 (87%)	11 (6%)	12 (7%)

*Percent of total suspensions, by suspension event

**Percent of invalid suspensions, by suspension event

***Includes both the original and additional bankruptcy suspension date fields

Table 12. Suspension Data for Family Law Cases, Circuit Courts, Fiscal Year 2018

Suspension Event	Total Suspensions N	Valid Suspensions N, (%)*	Invalid Suspensions N, (%)*	Missing Stop Date N, (%)**	Missing Start Date N, (%)**	Negative Suspension Time N, (%)**
Bankruptcy	2	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
Interlocutory Appeal	0	-	-	-	-	-
Military Leave	1	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
FTA 1	100	100 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
FTA 2	17	17 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
FTA 3	3	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
No Service in Child Support after 90 days	1,537	965 (62%)	572 (38%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	572 (100%)
Collaborative Law	0	-	-	-	-	-
Receivership	0	-	-	-	-	-
Total	1,660	1,088 (77%)	572 (23%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	572 (100%)

* Percent of total suspensions

** Percent of invalid suspensions, by suspension event

Table 13. Suspension Data for Limited Divorce Cases, Circuit Courts, Fiscal Year 2018

Suspension Event	Total Suspensions N	Valid Suspensions N, (%)*	Invalid Suspensions N, (%)*	Missing Stop Date N, (%)**	Missing Start Date N, (%)**	Negative Suspension Time N, (%)**
Bankruptcy	4	2 (50%)	2 (50%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Interlocutory Appeal	4	1 (33%)	3 (67%)	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Military Leave	0	-	-	-	-	-
FTA 1	2	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
FTA 2	1	1 (100%)	-	-	-	-
FTA 3	1	1 (100%)	-	-	-	-
No Service in Child Support after 90 days	0	-	-	-	-	-
Collaborative Law	0	-	-	-	-	-
Receivership	0	-	-	-	-	-
Total	12	6 (50%)	6 (50%)	6 (60%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)

* Percent of total suspensions

** Percent of invalid suspensions, by suspension event

Table 14. Suspension Data for Juvenile Delinquency Cases, Circuit Courts, Fiscal Year 2018

Suspension Event	Total Suspensions N	Valid Suspensions N, (%)*	Invalid Suspensions N, (%)*	Missing Stop Date N, (%)**	Missing Start Date N, (%)**	Negative Suspension Time N, (%)**
FTA 1	384	357 (93%)	27 (7%)	20 (74%)	0 (0%)	7 (26%)
FTA 2	55	51 (94%)	4 (6%)	2 (50%)	0 (0%)	2(50%)
FTA 3	11	10 (91%)	1 (9%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Military Leave	0	-	-	-	-	-
Competency Evaluation	74	74 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
Mistrial	0	-	-	-	-	-
Waiver to Adult Court	133	127 (95%)	6 (5%)	6 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Interlocutory Appeal	0	-	-	-	-	-
Pre-Disposition Treatment Program	74	67 (91%)	7 (9%)	7 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
PDI Order	298	296 (99%)	2 (1%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)
Psychological Evaluation	147	129 (87%)	18 (13%)	0 (0%)	18 (100%)	0 (0%)
DNA/Forensic Evidence	4	4 (100%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-
Total	1,180	1,115 (94%)	65 (6%)	37 (71%)	18 (22%)	10 (7%)

* Percent of total suspensions

** Percent of invalid suspensions, by suspension event

Table 15. Suspension Data for CINA Shelter Cases, Circuit Courts, Fiscal Year 2018

Suspension Event	Total Suspensions N	Valid Suspensions N, (%)*	Invalid Suspensions N, (%)*	Missing Stop Date N, (%)**	Missing Start Date N, (%)**	Negative Suspension Time N, (%)**
Military Leave	0	-	-	-	-	-
FTA/Body Attachment 1	12	9 (75%)	3 (25%)	2 (67%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)
FTA/Body Attachment 2	2	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)
FTA/Body Attachment 3	0	-	-	-	-	-
Total	14	9(64%)	5 (36%)	3 (60%)	0 (0%)	2 (40%)

* Percent of total suspensions

** Percent of invalid suspensions, by suspension event

Table 16. Suspension Data for CINA Non-Shelter Cases, Circuit Courts, Fiscal Year 2018

Suspension Event	Total Suspensions N	Valid Suspensions N, (%)*	Invalid Suspensions N, (%)*	Missing Stop Date N, (%)**	Missing Start Date N, (%)**	Negative Suspension Time N, (%)**
Military Leave	0	-	-	-	-	-
FTA/Body Attachment 1	6	6 (100%)	0 (0%)			
FTA/Body Attachment 2	1	1 (100%)	0 (0%)			
FTA/Body Attachment 3	0	-				
Total	7	7 (100%)	0 (0%)			

* Percent of total suspensions

** Percent of invalid suspensions, by suspension event

Table 17. Suspension Data for TPR Cases, Circuit Courts, Fiscal Year 2018

Suspension Event	Total Suspensions N	Valid Suspensions N, (%)*	Invalid Suspensions N, (%)*	Missing Stop Date N, (%)**	Missing Start Date N, (%)**	Negative Suspension Time N, (%)**
Interlocutory Appeal	0	-	-	-	-	-
Military Leave	0	-	-	-	-	-
Total	0	-	-	-	-	-

* Percent of total suspensions

** Percent of invalid suspensions, by suspension event

Appendix A:

Circuit Courts

Within-Standard Percentages

&

Overall and Over-Standard Average and Median Case Processing Times, by Case Type and Jurisdiction

Table A-1. Percent of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type and Jurisdiction, Fiscal Year 2018

Jurisdiction	Jurisdiction Size	Criminal	Civil General	Foreclosure	Family Law	Limited Divorce	Juvenile Delinquency	CINA Shelter	CINA Non-Shelter	TPR
Allegany	Medium	99%	96%	96%	97%	100%	100%	98%	100%	100%
Anne Arundel	Large	92%	98%	97%	96%	100%	100%	98%	94%	92%
Baltimore City	Large	78%	93%	94%	79%	92%	97%	64%	--	48%
Baltimore County	Large	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Calvert	Medium	87%	94%	91%	92%	100%	99%	68%	67%	100%
Caroline	Small	95%	94%	92%	95%	100%	83%	100%	--	100%
Carroll	Med.-Large	92%	93%	96%	97%	100%	97%	58%	100%	100%
Cecil	Medium	89%	85%	91%	94%	98%	95%	70%	100%	62%
Charles	Med.-Large	95%	94%	91%	94%	99%	97%	100%	100%	100%
Dorchester	Small	100%	96%	98%	99%	100%	98%	--	100%	--
Frederick	Med.-Large	96%	95%	96%	96%	98%	89%	77%	100%	100%
Garrett	Small	89%	93%	91%	86%	91%	100%	0%	89%	20%
Harford	Med.-Large	70%	92%	89%	87%	94%	96%	66%	83%	67%
Howard	Med.-Large	96%	99%	95%	99%	100%	95%	96%	100%	100%
Kent	Small	87%	96%	92%	98%	100%	81%	0%	100%	--
Montgomery	Large	88%	97%	95%	94%	97%	97%	93%	98%	100%
Prince George's	Large	90%	92%	82%	89%	98%	99%	98%	100%	31%
Queen Anne's	Small	100%	100%	97%	98%	100%	100%	0%	100%	--
Somerset	Small	94%	96%	96%	99%	100%	91%	100%	--	100%
St. Mary's	Medium	91%	95%	90%	95%	81%	82%	60%	100%	100%
Talbot	Small	85%	93%	96%	96%	100%	96%	100%	--	40%
Washington	Med.-Large	82%	93%	95%	96%	100%	80%	66%	100%	100%
Wicomico	Medium	94%	99%	100%	99%	100%	97%	25%	--	--
Worcester	Medium	98%	98%	99%	99%	100%	97%	42%	81%	100%
Statewide*		87%	94%	90%	92%	96%	98%	70%	92%	66%

Source: Maryland Judiciary Assessment Application (February 2019)

--" denotes jurisdictions with no cases of a certain type terminated in Fiscal Year 2018.

The Circuit Court for Baltimore County was excused from conducting a data quality review for the Fiscal Year 2018 analysis of case processing performance and individual results from this county is not presented.

*Statewide average is weighted based on the number of terminations reported to the State for each jurisdiction.

Table A-2. Percent of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type and Jurisdiction Size, Fiscal Year 2018

Jurisdiction	Criminal	Civil General	Foreclosure	Family Law	Limited Divorce	Juvenile Delinquency	CINA Shelter	CINA Non-Shelter	TPR
Small									
Caroline	95%	94%	92%	95%	100%	83%	100%	--	100%
Dorchester	100%	96%	98%	99%	100%	98%	--	100%	--
Garrett	89%	93%	91%	86%	91%	100%	--	89%	20%
Kent	87%	96%	92%	98%	100%	81%	0%	100%	--
Queen Anne's	100%	100%	97%	98%	100%	100%	0%	100%	--
Somerset	94%	96%	96%	99%	100%	91%	100%	--	100%
Talbot	85%	93%	96%	96%	100%	96%	100%	--	40%
Small Overall*	95%	96%	95%	96%	99%	96%	58%	91%	57%
Medium									
Allegany	99%	96%	96%	97%	100%	100%	98%	100%	100%
Calvert	87%	94%	91%	92%	100%	99%	68%	67%	100%
Cecil	89%	85%	91%	94%	98%	95%	70%	100%	62%
St. Mary's	91%	95%	90%	95%	81%	82%	60%	100%	100%
Wicomico	94%	99%	100%	99%	100%	97%	25%	--	--
Worcester	98%	98%	99%	99%	100%	97%	42%	81%	100%
Medium Overall*	93%	94%	94%	96%	95%	95%	73%	92%	88%
Medium-Large									
Carroll	92%	93%	96%	97%	100%	97%	58%	100%	100%
Charles	95%	94%	91%	94%	99%	97%	100%	100%	100%
Frederick	96%	95%	96%	96%	98%	89%	77%	100%	100%
Harford	70%	92%	89%	87%	94%	96%	66%	83%	67%
Howard	96%	99%	95%	99%	100%	95%	96%	100%	100%
Washington	82%	93%	95%	96%	100%	80%	66%	100%	100%
Medium-Large Overall*	88%	95%	93%	95%	98%	92%	74%	94%	89%
Large									
Anne Arundel	92%	98%	97%	96%	100%	100%	98%	94%	92%
Baltimore City	78%	93%	94%	79%	92%	97%	64%	--	48%
Baltimore County	‡	‡	‡	88%	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Montgomery	88%	98%	94%	96%	98%	96%	99%	100%	100%
Prince George's	91%	93%	87%	90%	99%	99%	98%	100%	31%
Large Overall*	85%	93%	89%	89%	95%	98%	70%	92%	57%

Source: Maryland Judiciary Assessment Application (February 2019)

-- denotes jurisdictions with no cases of a certain type terminated in Fiscal Year 2018.

‡ The Circuit Court for Baltimore County was excused from conducting a data quality review for the Fiscal Year 2018 analysis of case processing performance and individual results from this county is not presented.

* Jurisdiction size-specific averages are weighted based on the number of terminations reported to the State for each jurisdiction.

Table A-3. Overall (Total) and Over-Standard (OST) Average Case Processing Time in Days by Case Type and Jurisdiction (Weighted), Fiscal Year 2018

Jurisdiction	Criminal		Civil General		Foreclosure		Family Law		Limited Divorce		Juvenile Delinquency		CINA Shelter		CINA Non-Shelter		TPR	
	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST
Allegany	86	209	205	655	359	886	159	422	331	--	27	--	23	45	29	--	137	--
Anne Arundel	107	255	218	677	298	858	145	512	253	783	30	--	28	133	41	143	148	274
Baltimore City	117	282	251	710	347	898	240	547	334	900	49	253	53	106	--	--	212	285
Baltimore County	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Calvert	121	330	232	647	359	910	169	508	249	--	26	112	35	59	57	118	77	--
Caroline	100	249	237	718	360	906	147	516	202	--	64	219	19	--	--	--	153	--
Carroll	95	272	223	710	327	960	165	489	260	--	33	126	30	41	25	--	122	--
Cecil	114	286	301	703	361	952	150	556	306	985	31	189	35	66	21	--	227	342
Charles	106	299	269	691	360	1004	159	469	244	773	36	93	26	--	25	--	141	--
Dorchester	88	182	190	773	282	848	135	406	169	--	36	117	--	--	19	--	--	--
Frederick	89	306	231	671	308	924	146	457	319	769	49	154	34	95	44	--	154	--
Garrett	120	315	230	840	370	1141	176	531	361	952	9	--	--	--	50	227	269	296
Harford	189	454	203	726	380	1086	178	564	350	932	24	122	36	54	43	78	316	673
Howard	91	275	192	718	358	906	132	504	272	--	44	115	22	32	20	--	99	--
Kent	127	254	205	673	387	861	122	450	300	--	57	206	61	61	55	--	--	--
Montgomery	104	313	198	763	265	909	146	498	320	844	47	122	22	51	35	66	133	--
Prince George's	122	389	276	692	494	932	202	588	241	910	33	107	24	33	42	--	331	415
Queen Anne's	52	--	120	573	301	863	125	432	205	--	25	--	36	36	24	--	--	--
Somerset	118	263	157	748	307	1036	101	466	90	--	49	105	28	--	--	--	133	--
St. Mary's	106	317	247	763	371	900	147	522	393	1049	56	164	35	45	30	--	151	--
Talbot	135	277	233	750	329	946	116	455	236	--	34	91	17	--	--	--	180	204
Washington	120	268	240	739	317	917	116	488	198	--	53	142	38	64	30	--	104	--
Wicomico	118	251	207	586	261	742	124	521	211	--	25	108	56	66	--	--	--	--
Worcester	102	230	206	595	263	826	113	428	163	--	28	104	32	37	49	74	158	--
Statewide	114	302	241	729	382	932	169	526	307	913	39	156	44	87	39	116	192	312

Source: Maryland Judiciary Assessment Application (February 2019)

-- denotes jurisdictions with no cases of a certain type terminated in Fiscal Year 2018.

‡ The Circuit Court for Baltimore County was excused from conducting a data quality review for the Fiscal Year 2018 analysis of case processing performance and individual results from this county is not presented.

*Statewide average is weighted based on the number of terminations reported to the State for each jurisdiction.

Table A-4. Overall and Over-Standard Average Case Processing Time in Days, by Case Type/Jurisdiction Size (Weighted), Fiscal Year 2018

Jurisdiction	Criminal		Civil General		Foreclosure		Family Law		Limited Divorce		Juvenile Delinquency		CINA Shelter		CINA Non-Shelter		TPR	
	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST
Small																		
Caroline	100	249	237	718	360	906	147	516	202	--	64	219	19	--	--	--	153	--
Dorchester	88	182	190	773	282	848	135	406	169	--	36	117	--	--	19	--	--	--
Garrett	120	315	230	840	370	1141	176	531	361	952	9	--	--	--	50	227	269	296
Kent	127	254	205	673	387	861	122	450	300	--	57	206	61	61	55	--	--	--
Queen Anne's	52	0	120	573	301	863	125	432	205	--	25	--	36	36	24	--	--	--
Somerset	118	263	157	748	307	1036	101	466	90	--	49	105	28	--	--	--	133	--
Talbot	135	277	233	750	329	946	116	455	236	--	34	91	17	--	--	--	180	204
Small, Overall	94	246	183	709	328	927	131	462	2010	952	41	136	33	51	48	227	196	258
Medium																		
Allegany	86	209	205	655	359	886	159	422	331	--	27	--	23	45	29	--	137	--
Calvert	121	330	232	647	359	910	169	508	249	--	26	112	35	59	57	118	77	--
Cecil	114	286	301	703	361	952	150	556	306	985	31	189	35	66	21	--	227	342
St. Mary's	106	317	247	763	371	900	147	522	393	1049	56	164	35	45	30	--	151	--
Wicomico	118	251	207	586	261	742	124	521	211	--	25	108	56	66	--	--	--	--
Worcester	102	230	206	595	263	826	113	428	163	--	28	104	32	37	49	74	158	--
Medium, Overall	108	264	235	660	332	873	143	503	297	1014	32	117	33	53	37	80	166	342
Medium-Large																		
Carroll	95	272	223	710	327	960	165	489	260	--	33	126	30	41	25	--	122	--
Charles	106	299	269	691	360	1004	159	469	244	773	36	93	26	--	25	--	141	--
Frederick	89	306	231	671	308	924	146	457	319	769	49	154	34	95	44	--	154	--
Harford	189	454	203	726	380	1086	178	564	350	932	24	122	36	54	43	78	316	673
Howard	91	275	192	718	358	906	132	504	272	--	44	115	22	32	20	--	99	--
Washington	120	268	240	739	317	917	116	488	198	--	53	142	38	64	30	--	104	--
Medium-Large, Overall	119	323	221	710	347	980	147	496	295	830	40	123	33	59	33	78	188	673
Large																		
Anne Arundel	107	255	218	677	298	858	145	512	253	783	30	--	28	133	41	143	148	274
Baltimore City	117	282	251	710	347	898	240	547	334	900	49	253	53	106	--	--	212	285
Baltimore County	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Montgomery	104	313	198	763	265	909	146	498	320	844	47	122	22	51	35	66	133	--
Prince George's	122	389	276	692	494	932	202	588	241	910	33	107	24	33	42	--	331	415
Large, Overall	116	308	248	739	401	927	184	545	325	925	39	161	46	94	40	72	198	286

Source: Maryland Judiciary Assessment Application (February 2019)

"--" denotes jurisdictions with no cases of a certain type terminated in Fiscal Year 2018

The Circuit Court for Baltimore County was excused from conducting a data quality review for the Fiscal Year 2018 analysis of case processing performance and individual results from this county is not presented.

* Jurisdiction size-specific averages are weighted based on the number of terminations reported to the State for each jurisdiction.

Table A-5. Overall and Over-Standard Median Case Processing Time in Days, by Case Type and Jurisdiction (Weighted), Fiscal Year 2018

Jurisdiction	Criminal		Civil General		Foreclosure		Family Law		Limited Divorce		Juvenile Delinquency		CINA Shelter		CINA Non-Shelter		TPR	
	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST
Allegany	78	204	166	616	343	864	135	417	370	--	23	--	23	45	29	--	129	--
Anne Arundel	107	238	199	625	274	828	125	468	207	783	30	--	27	133	31	143	137	274
Baltimore City	98	253	208	708	288	836	183	475	259	833	42	196	28	84	--	--	186	256
Baltimore County	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Calvert	102	299	177	643	308	864	132	482	231	--	21	112	29	46	27	118	77	--
Caroline	92	215	198	666	339	845	113	459	131	--	32	238	19	--	--	--	153	--
Carroll	69	243	198	636	288	863	147	472	250	--	28	126	25	39	26	--	120	--
Cecil	103	266	253	650	290	867	101	439	296	985	21	126	26	49	21	--	175	387
Charles	100	250	260	637	302	920	117	442	229	773	35	93	28	--	25	--	147	--
Dorchester	87	182	119	700	251	848	121	387	160	--	32	107	--	--	19	--	--	--
Frederick	79	235	197	622	275	860	109	410	323	741	35	131	27	70	46	--	164	--
Garrett	108	212	169	794	299	919	125	477	393	952	1	--	--	--	28	123	217	237
Harford	114	302	139	696	293	932	114	481	327	817	1	105	29	57	41	77	165	960
Howard	89	258	166	720	346	882	93	429	284	--	49	111	22	32	12	--	98	--
Kent	119	240	169	673	362	868	112	446	259	--	20	227	61	61	55	--	--	--
Montgomery	83	266	161	624	229	825	112	446	294	763	51	120	21	47	33	66	135	--
Prince George's	97	322	242	649	475	870	147	505	216	920	30	110	24	33	47	--	290	400
Queen Anne's	36	0	73	573	280	841	90	401	173	--	24	--	36	36	24	--	--	--
Somerset	118	220	98	766	263	798	87	466	81	--	49	107	28	--	--	--	158	--
St. Mary's	94	221	218	749	314	874	108	496	226	1013	36	163	29	49	34	--	156	--
Talbot	125	240	169	736	295	841	90	455	259	--	33	91	17	--	--	--	187	187
Washington	96	232	196	612	266	834	92	420	165	--	36	112	28	52	24	--	105	--
Wicomico	114	226	200	589	236	742	98	518	187	--	21	108	46	73	--	--	--	--
Worcester	96	223	184	605	250	826	90	391	132	--	21	111	35	35	47	63	164	--
Statewide	96	259	202	672	343	866	131	463	267	876	34	120	27	71	35	88	162	289

Source: Maryland Judiciary Assessment Application (February 2019)

-- denotes jurisdictions with no cases of a certain type terminated in Fiscal Year 2018.

‡ The Circuit Court for Baltimore County was excused from conducting a data quality review for the Fiscal Year 2018 analysis of case processing performance and individual results from this county is not presented.

*Statewide average is weighted based on the number of terminations reported to the State for each jurisdiction.

Table A-6. Overall and Over-Standard Median Case Processing Time in Days, by Case Type/Jurisdiction Size (Weighted), Fiscal Year 2018

Jurisdiction	Criminal		Civil General		Foreclosure		Family Law		Limited Divorce		Juvenile Delinquency		CINA Shelter		CINA Non-Shelter		TPR	
	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST	Total	OST
Small																		
Caroline	92	215	198	666	339	845	113	459	131	--	32	238	19	--	--	--	153	--
Dorchester	104	182	119	700	251	848	121	387	160	--	32	107	--	--	19	--	--	--
Garrett	108	212	169	794	299	919	125	477	393	952	1	--	--	--	28	123	217	237
Kent	119	240	169	673	362	868	112	446	259	--	20	227	61	61	55	--	--	--
Queen Anne's	36	0	73	573	280	841	90	401	173	--	24	--	36	36	24	--	--	--
Somerset	118	220	98	766	263	798	87	466	81	--	49	107	28	--	--	--	158	--
Talbot	125	240	169	736	295	841	90	455	259	--	33	91	17	--	--	--	187	187
Small, Overall	88	218	127	684	296	848	105	437	190	952	32	136	33	51	28	123	185	214
Medium																		
Allegany	78	204	166	616	343	864	135	417	370	--	23	--	23	45	29	--	129	--
Calvert	102	299	177	643	308	864	132	482	231	--	21	112	29	46	27	118	77	--
Cecil	95	266	253	650	290	867	101	439	296	985	21	126	25	49	21	--	175	387
St. Mary's	94	221	218	749	314	874	108	496	226	1013	36	163	29	49	34	--	156	--
Wicomico	114	226	200	589	236	742	98	518	187	--	21	108	46	73	--	--	--	--
Worcester	96	220	184	605	250	826	90	391	132	--	21	111	35	35	47	63	164	--
Medium, Overall	95	240	200	639	290	840	109	466	244	997	24	108	28	49	35	71	147	387
Medium-Large																		
Carroll	69	243	198	636	288	863	147	472	250	--	28	126	21	39	26	--	120	--
Charles	100	250	260	637	302	920	117	442	229	773	35	93	28	--	25	--	147	--
Frederick	79	235	197	622	275	860	109	410	323	741	35	131	27	70	46	--	164	--
Harford	114	302	139	696	293	932	114	481	327	817	1	105	29	57	41	77	165	960
Howard	89	258	166	720	346	882	93	429	284	--	49	111	22	32	12	--	98	--
Washington	96	232	196	612	266	834	92	420	165	--	36	112	28	52	24	--	105	--
Medium-Large, Overall	93	256	186	665	296	891	109	439	276	777	31	110	27	52	32	77	138	960
Large																		
Anne Arundel	107	238	199	625	274	828	125	468	207	783	30	--	27	133	31	143	137	274
Baltimore City	98	253	208	708	288	836	183	475	259	833	42	196	24	84	--	--	186	256
Baltimore County	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Montgomery	83	266	161	624	229	825	112	446	294	763	51	120	21	47	33	66	135	--
Prince George's	97	322	242	649	475	870	147	505	216	920	30	110	24	33	47	--	290	400
Large, Overall	96	252	209	676	365	971	144	473	270	890	35	120	24	76	39	81	181	260

Source: Maryland Judiciary Assessment Application (February 2019)

-- denotes jurisdictions with no cases of a certain type terminated in Fiscal Year 2018.

‡ The Circuit Court for Baltimore County was excused from conducting a data quality review for the Fiscal Year 2018 analysis of case processing performance and individual results from this county is not presented.


* Jurisdiction size-specific averages are weighted based on the number of terminations reported to the State for each jurisdiction.

Appendix B:

Circuit Courts


Statewide Distribution of Over-Standard Cases

Figure B-1. Distribution of Over-Standard Criminal Cases (N=968) by the Time Beyond the 180-Day Time Standard, Fiscal Year 2018




- The average case processing time (weighted)
 Overall: 114 days (Fiscal Year 2017: 110 days)
 Within-standard cases: 84 days (Fiscal Year 2017: 80 days)
 Over-standard cases: 302 days (Fiscal Year 2017: 311 days)
- 7% of the over-standard cases closed within one week over standard.
- 25% of the over-standard cases closed within one month over standard.
- 50% of the over-standard cases closed within approximately 2.5 months over standard.

Figure B-2. Distribution of Over-Standard Civil General Cases (N=429) by the Time Beyond the 548-Day Time Standard, Fiscal Year 2018




- The average case processing time (weighted)
Overall: 241 days (Fiscal Year 2017: 249 days)
Within-standard cases: 207 days (Fiscal Year 2017: 217 days)
Over-standard cases: 729 days (Fiscal Year 2017: 734 days)
- 5% of the over-standard cases closed within one week over standard.
- 15% of the over-standard cases closed within one month over standard.
- 50% of the over-standard cases closed within approximately 3.8 months over standard.

Figure B-2(a). Distribution of Over-Standard Foreclosure Cases (N=524) by the Time Beyond the 730-Day Time Standard, Fiscal Year 2018




- The average case processing time (weighted)
Overall: 382 days (Fiscal Year 2017: 395 days)
Within-standard cases: 323 days (Fiscal Year 2017: 348 days)
Over-standard cases: 932 days (Fiscal Year 2017: 962 days)
- 5% of the over-standard cases closed within one week over standard.
- 14% of the over-standard cases closed within one month over standard.
- 50% of the over-standard cases closed within approximately 4.8 months over standard.

Figure B-3. Distribution of Over-Standard Family Law Cases (N=626) by the Time Beyond the 365-Day Time Standard, Fiscal Year 2018




- The average case processing time (weighted)
Overall: 169 days (Fiscal Year 2017: 172 days)
Within-standard cases: 131 days (Fiscal Year 2017: 138 days)
Over-standard cases: 526 days (Fiscal Year 2017: 532 days)
- 4% of the over-standard cases closed within one week over standard.
- 18% of the over-standard cases closed within one month over standard.
- 50% of the over-standard cases closed within approximately 3.1 months over standard.

Figure B-4. Distribution of Over-Standard Limited Divorce Cases (N=92) by the Time Beyond the 730-Day Time Standard, Fiscal Year 2018




- The average case processing time (weighted)
 Overall: 307 days (Fiscal Year 2017: 319 days)
 Within-standard cases: 276 days (Fiscal Year 2017: 274 days)
 Over-standard cases: 913 days (Fiscal Year 2017: 943 days)
- 2% of the over-standard cases closed within one week over standard.
- 9% of the over-standard cases closed within one month over standard.
- 50% of the over-standard cases closed within approximately 7.3 months over standard.

Figure B-5. Distribution of Over-Standard Juvenile Delinquency Cases (N=222) by the Time Beyond the 90-Day Time Standard, Fiscal Year 2018




- The average case processing time (weighted)
Overall: 39 days (Fiscal Year 2017: 43 days)
Within-standard cases: 35 days (Fiscal Year 2017: 38 days)
Over-standard cases: 156 days (Fiscal Year 2017: 145 days)
- 18% of the over-standard cases closed within one week over standard.
- 50% of the over-standard cases closed within one month over standard.
- 50% of the over-standard cases closed within approximately 1 month over standard.

Figure B-6. Distribution of Over-Standard CINA Shelter Cases (N=375) by the Time Beyond the 30-Day Time Standard, Fiscal Year 2018




- The average case processing time (weighted)
Overall: 44 days (Fiscal Year 2017: 35 days)
Within-standard cases: 23 days (Fiscal Year 2016: 23 days)
Over-standard cases: 87 days (Fiscal Year 2016: 63 days)
- 21% of the over-standard cases closed within one week over standard.
- 52% of the over-standard cases closed within one month over standard.
- 50% of the over-standard cases closed within approximately 4 weeks over standard.

Figure B-7. Distribution of Over-Standard CINA Non-Shelter Cases (N=34) by the Time Beyond the 60-Day Time Standard, Fiscal Year 2018



- The average case processing time (weighted)
 Overall: 39 days (Fiscal Year 2017: 37days)
 Within-standard cases: 33 days (Fiscal Year 2017: 33 days)
 Over-standard cases: 116 days (Fiscal Year 2017: 91 days)
- 38% of the over-standard cases closed within one week over standard.
- 56% of the over-standard cases closed within one month over standard.
- 50% of the over-standard cases closed within approximately 3.2 months over standard.

Figure B-8. Distribution of Over-Standard Termination of Parental Rights Cases (N=124) by the Time Beyond the 180-Day Time Standard, Fiscal Year 2018



- The average case processing time (weighted)
 - Overall: 192 days (Fiscal Year 2017: 173 days)
 - Within-standard cases: 130 days (Fiscal Year 2017: 133 days)
 - Over-standard cases: 312 days (Fiscal Year 2017: 271 days)
- 5% of the over-standard cases closed within one week over standard.
- 26% of the over-standard cases closed within one month over standard.
- 50% of the over-standard cases closed within approximately 2.6 months over standard.

Appendix C:


Circuit Courts

Percent of Cases Terminated Within Standard, by Jurisdiction

Fiscal Years 2014 through 2018*

*“NA” in the following tables denotes jurisdictions for which no cases of a certain type were terminated in a given fiscal year.

Percent of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2014-2018*
Statewide (Weighted)




	Criminal	Civil	Foreclosure**	Family Law (365 Days)	FL (730 Days) / Ltd. Divorce***	Juvenile	CINA Shelter	CINA Non-Shelter	Term. Parental Rights
FY 2014	88%	89%	89%	87%	91%	96%	74%	89%	72%
FY 2015	84%	91%	88%	89%	89%	96%	71%	90%	66%
FY 2016	87%	95%	95%	91%	94%	95%	75%	85%	68%
FY 2017	87%	94%	92%	92%	94%	95%	73%	94%	69%
FY 2018	87%	94%	90%	92%	96%	98%	70%	92%	66%
FY 14 -18 Change	-1%	5%	1%	5%	5%	2%	-4%	3%	-6%

* Jurisdiction-specific data is presented, unweighted, for Fiscal Years 2014 through 2018 on all subsequent pages within Appendix C except for the Circuit Court for Baltimore County that were excluded from data quality review for the Fiscal Year 2018 analysis. **The 730-day time standard goal became applicable to Foreclosure cases only beginning with the Fiscal Year 2016 Assessment

***The 730-day time standard goal became applicable to Limited Divorce cases only beginning with the Fiscal Year 2014 Assessment.


Percent of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2014-2018
Allegany County (Unweighted)



	Criminal	Civil	Foreclosure*	Family Law (365 Days)	FL (730 Days) / Ltd. Divorce**	Juvenile	CINA Shelter	CINA Non-Shelter	Term. Parental Rights
FY 2014	99%	97%	94%	96%	100%	99%	92%	80%	88%
FY 2015	100%	96%	93%	97%	100%	99%	86%	100%	100%
FY 2016	99%	98%	99%	96%	100%	99%	98%	100%	67%
FY 2017	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
FY 2018	99%	96%	96%	97%	100%	100%	98%	100%	100%
FY 14 -18 Change	0%	-1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	6%	20%	12%

‡The Circuit Court for Allegany County was excluded from the Fiscal Year 2017 analysis of case processing performance. *The 730-Day time standard goal became applicable to Foreclosure cases only beginning with the Fiscal Year 2016 Assessment. **The 730-day time standard goal became applicable to Limited Divorce cases only beginning with the Fiscal Year 2014 Assessment.

Percent of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2014-2018
Anne Arundel County (Unweighted)




	Criminal	Civil	Foreclosure*	Family Law (365 Days)	FL (730 Days) / Ltd. Divorce**	Juvenile	CINA Shelter	CINA Non-Shelter	Term. Parental Rights
FY 2014	95%	94%	94%	92%	100%	95%	86%	100%	100%
FY 2015	†	†	†	†	†	†	†	†	†
FY 2016	87%	99%	100%	91%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%
FY 2017	93%	98%	99%	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
FY 2018	92%	98%	97%	96%	100%	100%	98%	94%	92%
FY 14 -18 Change	-3%	4%	3%	4%	0%	5%	12%	-6%	-8%

†The Circuit Court for Anne Arundel County was excluded from the Fiscal Year 2015 analysis of case processing performance.

*The 730-day time standard goal became applicable to Foreclosure cases only beginning with the Fiscal Year 2016 Assessment.

**The 730-day time standard goal became applicable to Limited Divorce cases only beginning with the Fiscal Year 2014 Assessment.

Percent of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2014-2018
Baltimore City (Unweighted)




	Criminal	Civil	Foreclosure*	Family Law (365 Days)	FL (730 Days) / Ltd. Divorce**	Juvenile	CINA Shelter	CINA Non-Shelter	Term. Parental Rights
FY 2014	81%	90%	90%	79%	45%	96%	69%	100%	63%
FY 2015	72%	96%	94%	79%	78%	96%	68%	N/A	54%
FY 2016	79%	96%	97%	82%	79%	96%	72%	N/A	63%
FY 2017	81%	95%	96%	82%	90%	96%	66%	N/A	47%
FY 2018	78%	93%	94%	79%	92%	97%	64%	N/A	48%
FY 14 -18 Change	-3%	3%	4%	0%	47%	1%	-5%	N/A	-15%

*The 730-day time standard goal became applicable to Foreclosure cases only beginning with the Fiscal Year 2016 Assessment.

**The 730-day time standard goal became applicable to Limited Divorce cases only beginning with the Fiscal Year 2014 Assessment.

Percent of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2014-2018
Calvert County (Unweighted)




	Criminal	Civil	Foreclosure*	Family Law (365 Days)	FL (730 Days) / Ltd. Divorce**	Juvenile	CINA Shelter	CINA Non-Shelter	Term. Parental Rights
FY 2014	86%	89%	88%	87%	92%	95%	78%	100%	75%
FY 2015	84%	89%	89%	92%	93%	99%	73%	100%	67%
FY 2016	92%	92%	97%	93%	96%	90%	45%	100%	0%
FY 2017	85%	97%	95%	88%	90%	94%	75%	100%	75%
FY 2018	87%	94%	91%	92%	100%	99%	68%	67%	100%
FY 14 -18 Change	1%	5%	3%	5%	8%	4%	-10%	-33%	25%

*The 730-day time standard goal became applicable to Foreclosure cases **only** beginning with the Fiscal Year 2016 Assessment.

The 730-day time standard goal became applicable to Limited Divorce cases **only beginning with the Fiscal Year 2014 Assessment.

Percent of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2014-2018
Caroline County (Unweighted)




	Criminal	Civil	Foreclosure*	Family Law (365 Days)	FL (730 Days) / Ltd. Divorce**	Juvenile	CINA Shelter	CINA Non-Shelter	Term. Parental Rights
FY 2014	99%	86%	86%	90%	100%	92%	50%	100%	100%
FY 2015	98%	93%	92%	97%	100%	92%	88%	N/A	N/A
FY 2016	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
FY 2017	95%	90%	93%	95%	79%	88%	83%	100%	N/A
FY 2018	95%	94%	92%	95%	100%	83%	100%	N/A	100%
FY 14 -18 Change	-4%	8%	6%	5%	0%	-9%	50%	N/A	0%

‡The Circuit Court for Caroline County was excluded from the Fiscal Year 2016 analysis of case processing performance.

*The 730-day time standard goal became applicable to Foreclosure cases only beginning with the Fiscal Year 2016 Assessment. **The 730-day time standard goal became applicable to Limited Divorce cases only beginning with the Fiscal Year 2014 Assessment.

Percent of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2014-2018
Carroll County (Unweighted)




	Criminal	Civil	Foreclosure*	Family Law (365 Days)	FL (730 Days) / Ltd. Divorce**	Juvenile	CINA Shelter	CINA Non-Shelter	Term. Parental Rights
FY 2014	87%	89%	86%	94%	100%	85%	81%	100%	75%
FY 2015	87%	83%	76%	95%	98%	96%	84%	100%	100%
FY 2016	89%	92%	90%	95%	100%	93%	84%	50%	50%
FY 2017	90%	96%	94%	96%	100%	96%	69%	100%	100%
FY 2018	92%	93%	96%	97%	100%	97%	58%	100%	100%
FY 14 -18 Change	5%	4%	10%	3%	0%	12%	-53%	0%	25%

*The 730-day time standard goal became applicable to Foreclosure cases only beginning with the Fiscal Year 2016 Assessment.

**The 730-day time standard goal became applicable to Limited Divorce cases only beginning with the Fiscal Year 2014 Assessment.

Percent of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2014-2018
Cecil County (Unweighted)




	Criminal	Civil	Foreclosure*	Family Law (365 Days)	FL (730 Days) / Ltd. Divorce**	Juvenile	CINA Shelter	CINA Non-Shelter	Term. Parental Rights
FY 2014	86%	83%	78%	94%	95%	92%	76%	N/A	100%
FY 2015	87%	82%	77%	93%	100%	89%	62%	N/A	33%
FY 2016	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
FY 2017	87%	84%	96%	91%	99%	98%	77%	N/A	75%
FY 2018	89%	85%	91%	94%	98%	95%	70%	100%	62%
FY 14 -18 Change	3%	2%	13%	0%	3%	3%	-6%	N/A	-38%

‡The Circuit Court for Cecil County was excluded from the Fiscal Year 2016 analysis of case processing performance. *The 730-day time standard goal became applicable to Foreclosure cases only beginning with the Fiscal Year 2016 Assessment.

**The 730-day time standard goal became applicable to Limited Divorce cases only beginning with the Fiscal Year 2014 Assessment.

Percent of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2014-2018
Charles County (Unweighted)




	Criminal	Civil	Foreclosure*	Family Law (365 Days)	FL (730 Days) / Ltd. Divorce**	Juvenile	CINA Shelter	CINA Non-Shelter	Term. Parental Rights
FY 2014	93%	88%	89%	96%	100%	100%	97%	100%	100%
FY 2015	89%	84%	80%	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
FY 2016	91%	95%	95%	95%	100%	100%	97%	100%	100%
FY 2017	94%	93%	89%	96%	100%	99%	100%	100%	100%
FY 2018	95%	94%	91%	94%	99%	97%	100%	100%	100%
FY 14 -18 Change	2%	6%	3%	-2%	-1%	-3%	3%	0%	0%

*The 730-day time standard goal became applicable to Foreclosure cases only beginning with the Fiscal Year 2016 Assessment.

**The 730-day time standard goal became applicable to Limited Divorce cases only beginning with the Fiscal Year 2014 Assessment.

Percent of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2014-2018
Dorchester County (Unweighted)




	Criminal	Civil	Foreclosure*	Family Law (365 Days)	FL (730 Days) / Ltd. Divorce**	Juvenile	CINA Shelter	CINA Non-Shelter	Term. Parental Rights
FY 2014	98%	98%	98%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A
FY 2015	100%	99%	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	75%	100%
FY 2016	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
FY 2017	100%	97%	99%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
FY 2018	100%	96%	98%	99%	100%	98%	N/A	100%	N/A
FY 14 -17 Change	2%	-2%	0%	0%	0%	-2%	N/A	0%	N/A

‡The Circuit Court for Dorchester County was excluded from the Fiscal Year 2016 analysis of case processing performance. *The 730-day time standard goal became applicable to Foreclosure cases only beginning with the Fiscal Year 2016 Assessment.

**The 730-day time standard goal became applicable to Limited Divorce cases only beginning with the Fiscal Year 2014 Assessment.

Percent of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2012-2016
Frederick County (Unweighted)




	Criminal	Civil	Foreclosure*	Family Law (365 Days)	FL (730 Days) / Ltd. Divorce**	Juvenile	CINA Shelter	CINA Non-Shelter	Term. Parental Rights
FY 2014	99%	95%	94%	98%	100%	100%	97%	100%	100%
FY 2015	97%	95%	94%	98%	100%	97%	81%	95%	75%
FY 2016	97%	97%	98%	97%	100%	98%	93%	100%	100%
FY 2017	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
FY 2018	96%	95%	96%	96%	98%	89%	77%	100%	100%
FY 14 -18 Change	-3%	0%	2%	-2%	-2%	-11%	-20%	0%	0%

‡The Circuit Court for Frederick County was excluded from the Fiscal Year 2017 analysis of case processing performance. *The 730-Day time standard goal became applicable to Foreclosure cases only beginning with the Fiscal Year 2016 Assessment.

**The 730-Day time standard goal became applicable to Limited Divorce cases only beginning with the Fiscal Year 2014 Assessment.


Percent of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2014-2018
Garrett County (Unweighted)



	Criminal	Civil	Foreclosure*	Family Law (365 Days)	FL (730 Days) / Ltd. Divorce**	Juvenile	CINA Shelter	CINA Non-Shelter	Term. Parental Rights
FY 2014	85%	91%	90%	82%	100%	97%	43%	100%	N/A
FY 2015	90%	87%	82%	83%	100%	82%	16%	100%	100%
FY 2016	76%	90%	96%	83%	100%	78%	57%	0%	0%
FY 2017	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
FY 2018	89%	93%	91%	86%	91%	100%	0%	89%	20%
FY 14 -18 Change	-4%	2%	1%	4%	-9%	3%	-43%	-11%	N/A

‡The Circuit Court for Garrett County was excluded from the Fiscal Year 2017 analysis of case processing performance. *The 730-Day time standard goal became applicable to Foreclosure cases only beginning with the Fiscal Year 2016 Assessment. **The 730-Day time standard goal became applicable to Limited /Divorce cases only beginning with the Fiscal Year 2014 Assessment.

Percent of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2014-2018
Harford County (Unweighted)




	Criminal	Civil	Foreclosure*	Family Law (365 Days)	FL (730 Days) / Ltd. Divorce**	Juvenile	CINA Shelter	CINA Non-Shelter	Term. Parental Rights
FY 2014	78%	86%	83%	89%	86%	94%	83%	85%	19%
FY 2015	72%	86%	85%	83%	79%	92%	76%	76%	25%
FY 2016	72%	94%	90%	82%	87%	95%	69%	67%	45%
FY 2017	72%	84%	88%	81%	84%	85%	70%	100%	40%
FY 2018	70%	92%	89%	87%	94%	96%	66%	83%	67%
FY 14 -18 Change	-8%	96%	6%	-2%	8%	2%	-17%	-2%	48%

*The 730-day time standard goal became applicable to Foreclosure cases only beginning with the Fiscal Year 2016 Assessment.

**The 730-day time standard goal became applicable to Limited Divorce cases only beginning with the Fiscal Year 2014 Assessment.

Percent of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2014-2018
Howard County (Unweighted)




	Criminal	Civil	Foreclosure*	Family Law (365 Days)	FL (730 Days) / Ltd. Divorce**	Juvenile	CINA Shelter	CINA Non-Shelter	Term. Parental Rights
FY 2014	94%	95%	88%	98%	96%	98%	79%	100%	100%
FY 2015	92%	96%	90%	98%	100%	96%	85%	100%	33%
FY 2016	96%	99%	96%	97%	100%	94%	94%	100%	100%
FY 2017	96%	99%	95%	98%	100%	98%	100%	100%	100%
FY 2018	96%	99%	95%	99%	100%	95%	96%	100%	100%
FY 14 -18 Change	2%	4%	7%	1%	4%	-3%	17%	0%	0%

*The 730-day time standard goal became applicable to Foreclosure cases only beginning with the Fiscal Year 2016 Assessment.

**The 730-day time standard goal became applicable to Limited Divorce cases only beginning with the Fiscal Year 2014 Assessment.

Percent of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2014-2018
Kent County (Unweighted)




	Criminal	Civil	Foreclosure*	Family Law (365 Days)	FL (730 Days) / Ltd. Divorce**	Juvenile	CINA Shelter	CINA Non-Shelter	Term. Parental Rights
FY 2014	88%	81%	77%	88%	100%	74%	33%	N/A	N/A
FY 2015	91%	76%	68%	91%	100%	95%	33%	N/A	N/A
FY 2016	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
FY 2017	86%	91%	100%	97%	100%	92%	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY 2018	87%	96%	92%	98%	100%	81%	0%	100%	N/A
FY 14 -18 Change	-1%	15%	15%	10%	0%	7%	N/A	N/A	N/A

‡The Circuit Court for Kent County was excluded from the Fiscal Year 2016 analysis of case processing performance. *The 730-day time standard goal became applicable to Foreclosure cases only beginning with the Fiscal Year 2016 Assessment.

**The 730-day time standard goal became applicable to Limited Divorce cases only beginning with the Fiscal Year 2014 Assessment.

Percent of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2014-2018
Montgomery County (Unweighted)




	Criminal	Civil	Foreclosure*	Family Law (365 Days)	FL (730 Days) / Ltd. Divorce**	Juvenile	CINA Shelter	CINA Non-Shelter	Term. Parental Rights
FY 2014	94%	97%	92%	94%	100%	93%	81%	89%	100%
FY 2015	94%	96%	93%	95%	99%	94%	57%	100%	100%
FY 2016	94%	97%	96%	95%	98%	94%	77%	92%	100%
FY 2017	88%	98%	94%	96%	98%	96%	99%	100%	100%
FY 2018	88%	97%	95%	94%	97%	97%	93%	98%	100%
FY 14 -18 Change	-6%	0%	3%	0%	-3%	4%	12%	9%	0%

*The 730-day time standard goal became applicable to Foreclosure cases only beginning with the Fiscal Year 2016 Assessment.

**The 730-day time standard goal became applicable to Limited Divorce cases only beginning with the Fiscal Year 2014 Assessment.

Percent of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2014-2018
Prince George's County (Unweighted)




	Criminal	Civil	Foreclosure*	Family Law (365 Days)	FL (730 Days) / Ltd. Divorce**	Juvenile	CINA Shelter	CINA Non-Shelter	Term. Parental Rights
FY 2014	92%	87%	85%	78%	76%	99%	99%	100%	56%
FY 2015	91%	85%	80%	85%	97%	100%	99%	100%	87%
FY 2016	92%	93%	93%	89%	100%	99%	99%	100%	45%
FY 2017	91%	93%	87%	90%	99%	99%	99%	100%	11%
FY 2018	90%	92%	82%	89%	98%	99%	98%	100%	31%
FY 14 -18 Change	-2%	5%	-3%	11%	22%	-1%	-8%	0%	-15%

*The 730-day time standard goal became applicable to Foreclosure cases only beginning with the Fiscal Year 2016 Assessment.

**The 730-day time standard goal became applicable to Limited Divorce cases only beginning with the Fiscal Year 2014 Assessment.

Percent of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2014-2018
Queen Anne's County (Unweighted)




	Criminal	Civil	Foreclosure*	Family Law (365 Days)	FL (730 Days) / Ltd. Divorce**	Juvenile	CINA Shelter	CINA Non-Shelter	Term. Parental Rights
FY 2014	99%	97%	96%	98%	100%	91%	100%	N/A	N/A
FY 2015	100%	96%	93%	98%	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY 2016	†	†	†	†	†	†	†	†	†
FY 2017	100%	100%	99%	99%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	N/A
FY 2018	100%	100%	97%	98%	100%	100%	0%	100%	N/A
FY 14 -18 Change	0%	3%	1%	0%	0%	9%	Undefined	N/A	N/A

†The Circuit Court for Queen Anne's County was excluded from the Fiscal Year 2016 analysis of case processing performance.

*The 730-day time standard goal became applicable to Foreclosure cases only beginning with the Fiscal Year 2016 Assessment.

**The 730-day time standard goal became applicable to Limited Divorce cases only beginning with the Fiscal Year 2014 Assessment.

Percent of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2014-2018
Somerset County (Unweighted)




	Criminal	Civil	Foreclosure*	Family Law (365 Days)	FL (730 Days) / Ltd. Divorce**	Juvenile	CINA Shelter	CINA Non-Shelter	Term. Parental Rights
FY 2014	97%	97%	95%	99%	100%	98%	100%	100%	0%
FY 2015	100%	97%	97%	99%	100%	97%	100%	100%	100%
FY 2016	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
FY 2017	98%	100%	97%	98%	100%	95%	100%	N/A	0%
FY 2018	94%	96%	96%	99%	100%	91%	100%	N/A	100%
FY 14 -18 Change	-3%	-1%	1%	0%	0%	-7%	0%	N/A	N/A

‡The Circuit Court for Somerset County was excluded from the Fiscal Year 2016 analysis of case processing performance.

*The 730-day time standard goal became applicable to Foreclosure cases only beginning with the Fiscal Year 2016 Assessment.

**The 730-day time standard goal became applicable to Limited Divorce cases only beginning with the Fiscal Year 2014 Assessment.

Percent of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2014-2018
St. Mary's County (Unweighted)




	Criminal	Civil	Foreclosure*	Family Law (365 Days)	FL (730 Days) / Ltd. Divorce**	Juvenile	CINA Shelter	CINA Non-Shelter	Term. Parental Rights
FY 2014	87%	87%	84%	90%	91%	87%	75%	0%	43%
FY 2015	85%	87%	83%	91%	90%	86%	69%	N/A	60%
FY 2016	86%	94%	97%	93%	91%	87%	79%	N/A	86%
FY 2017	89%	92%	94%	94%	85%	95%	71%	96%	100%
FY 2018	91%	95%	90%	95%	81%	82%	60%	100%	100%
FY 14 -18 Change	4%	8%	6%	5%	-10%	-5%	-15%	N/A	57%

*The 730-day time standard goal became applicable to Foreclosure cases **only** beginning with the Fiscal Year 2016 Assessment.

The 730-day time standard goal became applicable to Limited Divorce cases **only beginning with the Fiscal Year 2014 Assessment.

Percent of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2014-2018
Talbot County (Unweighted)




	Criminal	Civil	Foreclosure*	Family Law (365 Days)	FL (730 Days) / Ltd. Divorce**	Juvenile	CINA Shelter	CINA Non-Shelter	Term. Parental Rights
FY 2014	92%	88%	88%	96%	100%	100%	87%	100%	N/A
FY 2015	95%	85%	82%	97%	100%	97%	83%	100%	67%
FY 2016	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
FY 2017	89%	91%	100%	96%	100%	94%	0%	N/A	N/A
FY 2018	85%	93%	96%	96%	100%	96%	100%	N/A	40%
FY 14 -18 Change	-7%	5%	4%	0%	0%	-4%	13%	N/A	N/A

‡The Circuit Court for Talbot County was excluded from the Fiscal Year 2016 analysis of case processing performance.

*The 730-day time standard goal became applicable to Foreclosure cases only beginning with the Fiscal Year 2016 Assessment.

**The 730-day time standard goal became applicable to Limited Divorce cases only beginning with the Fiscal Year 2014 Assessment.

Percent of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2014-2018
Washington County (Unweighted)




	Criminal	Civil	Foreclosure*	Family Law (365 Days)	FL (730 Days) / Ltd. Divorce**	Juvenile	CINA Shelter	CINA Non-Shelter	Term. Parental Rights
FY 2014	93%	94%	93%	97%	100%	93%	82%	78%	100%
FY 2015	90%	90%	87%	98%	100%	95%	72%	92%	100%
FY 2016	88%	96%	97%	98%	97%	92%	89%	84%	100%
FY 2017	†	†	†	†	†	†	†	†	†
FY 2018	82%	93%	95%	96%	100%	80%	66%	100%	100%
FY 14 -18 Change	-11%	-1%	2%	-1%	0%	-13%	-66%	22%	0%

†The Circuit Court for Washington County was excluded from the Fiscal Year 2017 analysis of case processing performance. *The 730-Day time standard goal became applicable to Foreclosure cases only beginning with the Fiscal Year 2016 Assessment.

**The 730-Day time standard goal became applicable to Limited Divorce cases only beginning with the Fiscal Year 2014 Assessment.


Percent of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2014-2018
Wicomico County (Unweighted)



	Criminal	Civil	Foreclosure*	Family Law (365 Days)	FL (730 Days) / Ltd. Divorce**	Juvenile	CINA Shelter	CINA Non-Shelter	Term. Parental Rights
FY 2014	99%	97%	97%	98%	100%	98%	83%	50%	86%
FY 2015	99%	99%	98%	99%	100%	99%	0%	50%	67%
FY 2016	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
FY 2017	97%	98%	100%	100%	100%	99%	67%	100%	N/A
FY 2018	94%	99%	100%	99%	100%	97%	25%	N/A	N/A
FY 14 -18 Change	-5%	2%	3%	1%	0%	-1%	-58%	N/A	N/A

‡The Circuit Court for Wicomico County was excluded from the Fiscal Year 2016 analysis of case processing performance. *The 730-day time standard goal became applicable to Foreclosure cases only beginning with the Fiscal Year 2016 Assessment.**The 730-day time standard goal became applicable to Limited Divorce cases only beginning with the Fiscal Year 2014 Assessment.

Percent of Cases Terminated Within Standard by Case Type, Fiscal Years 2014-2018
Worcester County (Unweighted)



	Criminal	Civil	Foreclosure*	Family Law (365 Days)	FL (730 Days) / Ltd. Divorce**	Juvenile	CINA Shelter	CINA Non-Shelter	Term. Parental Rights
FY 2014	99%	97%	97%	99%	100%	99%	53%	100%	50%
FY 2015	100%	98%	98%	99%	100%	99%	43%	70%	100%
FY 2016	†	†	†	†	†	†	†	†	†
FY 2017	99%	99%	100%	98%	100%	100%	64%	96%	100%
FY 2018	98%	98%	99%	99%	100%	97%	42%	81%	100%
FY 14 -18 Change	-1%	1%	3%	0%	0%	-2%	-11%	-19%	50%

†The Circuit Court for Worcester County was excluded from the Fiscal Year 2016 analysis of case processing performance. *The 730-day time standard goal became applicable to Foreclosure cases **only** beginning with the Fiscal Year 2016 Assessment. **The 730-day time standard goal became applicable to Limited Divorce cases **only** beginning with the Fiscal Year 2014 Assessment.