# Analysis of Case Processing Performance in the Court of Special Appeals

# Fiscal Year 2021



Administrative Office of the Courts

December 2021

# **Table of Contents**

| Introduction   | 1  |
|--|----|
| Methodology  | 1  |
| Overall Performance Results                              | 2  |
| Civil & Criminal Cases                                   | 2  |
| Special Cases  | 4  |
| Analysis of Over-Standard Cases                          | 6  |
| Appendix: Court of Special Appeals Case Time StandardsA- | -1 |
| Special CasesA-  | .3 |

#### Introduction

The Court of Special Appeals first adopted case time standards in Fiscal Year 2014. The standards reflect the processing time during which the Court has significant control over the progress of cases toward disposition. The civil and criminal direct appeal standards specify that the Court should dispose of 80% of appeals within 9 months (270 days) of argument or submission of the case on the briefs. Case time standards are central to the Maryland Judiciary's mission to provide fair, efficient, and effective justice for all.

Applicable laws and Maryland Rules govern decisions or opinions for cases involving child access: guardianship, a child in need of assistance (CINA), and termination of parental rights (TPR), as well as State appeals from the pretrial suppression of evidence and elective-expedited appeals.

The Court's time standards include case time suspensions. These suspensions stay case aging for reasons such as bankruptcy, mediation, or the pendency of related cases or issues in the Court of Special Appeals, or a different court.

See Appendix for the complete table of case time standards for the Court of Special Appeals.

This report contains performance results towards the Court's case time standards during Fiscal Year 2021 (July 1, 2020 through June 30, 2021). During that time, the Judiciary operated under Phase II through Phase V guidelines as outlined in the <u>Exhibit</u> to the Third Amended Administrative Order Expanding Statewide Judiciary Operation in Light of the COVID-19 Emergency (August 6, 2021). Under these provisions, the Court of Special Appeals remained fully operational, with proceedings occurring remotely as appropriate.<sup>1</sup>

#### Methodology

The present analyses of case processing performance in the Court of Special Appeals focus on appeals disposed by the Court in Fiscal Year 2021 (July 1, 2020 through June 30, 2021). Case time ends, for present purposes, at the original appellate disposition date.<sup>2</sup>

Data in the present analyses are extracted from the Maryland Electronic Court (MDEC) case management system through custom reports<sup>3</sup>. A final data quality review involved comparing data retrieved from MDEC to data from the Clerk of the Court of Special Appeals.

This report provides performance results by case type and separately for cases involving reported and unreported opinions. Appellate courts typically issue reported opinions in cases that either establish new law, clarify or qualify existing law, restate a principle of law not discussed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Administrative Order (including the Exhibit) is available at <u>https://mdcourts.gov/sites/default/files/admin-orders/20210806thirdamendedorderexpandingstatewidejudiciaryoperationsinlightofthecovid19emergency.pdf</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Some appeals may contain reconsidered opinions or dates of disposition. This may occur if, for example, the Court of Appeals grants a writ of certiorari and vacates the original disposition. In such instances, the present analyses end case time at the original disposition date.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Iterations of this report prior to Fiscal Year 2018 involved data from case records individually extracted from the mainframe database of the Court of Special Appeals. With the Court of Special Appeals transition to the Maryland Electronic Courts (MDEC) case management system in the summer of 2018, the previous manual process is no longer necessary.

recently, or are of important public interest. In the Court of Special Appeals, the panel of judges that hears a case can recommend to the entire Court to publish an opinion. The full Court then votes on whether to report the opinion.

#### **Overall Performance Results**

Case processing performance results for Fiscal Year 2021 show that, except for certain special cases,<sup>4</sup> the Court of Special Appeals generally processes cases faster than the 9-month (270 day) standard developed by the Judiciary for civil and criminal appeals. Results in this report do not currently factor suspensions into consideration.

#### **Civil & Criminal Cases**

The Court of Special Appeals surpassed the 80% goal by disposing of 91% of its combined criminal and civil appeals cases in Fiscal Year 2021 within 9 months (270 days). See Table 1.

The data suggest the Court processes cases involving unreported opinions somewhat faster than those with reported opinions. In Fiscal Year 2021, 92% of cases with unreported opinions and 85% of cases with reported opinions were disposed within standard. The Court processed a larger percentage of its civil cases with reported opinions within standard (90%) than criminal cases with reported opinions (76%). The Court's within-standard performance as to cases with unreported opinions disposed in Fiscal Year 2021 was similar for criminal (93%) and civil appeals (91%).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Special cases for purposes of this report include cases that involve deciding child access issues (guardianship, CINA, TPR); State appeals from the pretrial suppression of evidence; and elective expedited appeals. Applicable laws or Maryland Rules (*see* the Comments column on A2 of the Appendix) create special time standards for these cases, therefore analyzed separately.

Table 1. Criminal & Civil Cases Disposed within Time Standard Goals, Court of Special Appeals, Fiscal Year2021

**Judiciary Goals** 

#### Criminal & Civil Cases

Argument or Submission on Brief to Disposition

80% within 9 Months (270 Days)

|  |  | Cases Disposed Within Time Standard Goals |                              |  |                              |                              |  |                              |                              |  |
|--|--|---|------------------------------|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
|  |  | All (                                     | Cases                        | Cases with Reported<br>Opinions <sup>c</sup> |                              |                              | Cases with Unreported<br>Opinions <sup>e</sup> |                              |                              |  |
| Саѕе Туре                                | Total<br>FY 2021<br>Dispo-<br>sitions<br>N | Cases<br>Within<br>Std.<br>N              | Cases<br>Within<br>Std.<br>% | Total<br>N                                   | Cases<br>Within<br>Std.<br>N | Cases<br>Within<br>Std.<br>% | Total<br>N                                     | Cases<br>Within<br>Std.<br>N | Cases<br>Within<br>Std.<br>% |  |
| Criminalª                                | 588  | 538                                       | 91%                          | 38   | 29                           | 76%                          | 550  | 509                          | 93%                          |  |
| Civil <sup>b</sup>                       | 624  | 568                                       | 91%                          | 78   | 70                           | 90%                          | 546  | 498                          | 91%                          |  |
| Combined<br>Criminal<br>& Civil<br>Cases | 1,212                                      | 1,106                                     | 91%                          | 116  | 99                           | 85%                          | 1096   | 1,007                        | 92%                          |  |

<sup>a</sup> Criminal appeals do not include State appeals from the pretrial suppression of evidence (n=1). Performance results for this appeal are in Table 3.

<sup>b</sup> Civil cases include regular civil appeals, juvenile delinquency appeals, and child access and guardianship appeals. Child access and guardianship appeals are subject to additional case time standards, as shown in Table 3, as well as the general civil appeals case time standard.

<sup>c</sup> The Court of Special Appeals will occasionally report an earlier unreported opinion. To avoid double-counting such cases, these analyses count them once, as unreported cases, based on the original disposition date.

The overall average time from argument or submission on brief to disposition for appeals disposed in Fiscal Year 2021 was 104 days for criminal and 113 days for civil appeals. Juvenile delinquency cases (a civil case subtype) showed an average case time in Fiscal Year 2021 of 115 days. Overall median times from argument or submission to disposition among the Court's appeals disposed in Fiscal Year 2021 were lowest for civil (60 days), followed by criminal (75 days), and juvenile delinquency appeals (100 days).

| Case Type                               | Time Standard  |                 | <b>Fiscal Year 2021 Overall</b><br>Average & Median Case Times<br>(in days) <sup>c</sup> |                |  |
|---|--|-----------------|--|----------------|--|
|   |  | Total Cases (N) | Overall Average  | Overall Median |  |
| Criminal <sup>a</sup>                   | # Days<br>Argument or<br>Submission on Brief<br>to Disposition | 588             | 104  | 75             |  |
| Civil<br>(less Juvenile<br>Delinquency) |  | 609             | 113  | 60             |  |
| Juvenile Delinquency <sup>b</sup>       |  | 15              | 115  | 100            |  |
| Overall (Combined)                      |  | 1,212           | 109  | 67             |  |

 Table 2. Overall Average & Median Case Processing Times, Court of Special Appeals, Fiscal Year 2021

<sup>a</sup> Criminal appeals do not include State appeals from pretrial suppression of evidence (n=1). Performance results for this appeal are in Table 3.

<sup>b</sup> Juvenile Delinquency cases are contained within the "Civil" appeal type in terms of the Court of Special Appeals' official Case Time Standards, but are analyzed separately in Table 2 to show comparative average and median case times only.

<sup>c</sup> Cases disposed the same day as argument or submission on brief are counted as one rather than zero days.

### **Special Cases**

The Court of Special Appeals' case time standards contain specialized timeliness provisions for certain appeal types pursuant to applicable statutes or Maryland Rules governing those appeals. Table 3 shows Fiscal Year 2021 performance results among these "special cases."

The Court met the goal of disposing of 100% of State appeals from pretrial suppression of evidence within 120 days of filing of the record in Fiscal Year 2021 (n=1).

The only elective expedited appeal in Fiscal Year 2021, which was also a child access case hence subject to both sets of time standards, was processed within standard for both relevant standards.

Child access cases constitute the majority of the special cases, with 76 appeals<sup>5</sup> disposed in Fiscal Year 2021. The Court's child access cases have two case time standards, each with its performance goal: 1) argument or submission on brief within 120 days of filing the record in 98% of child access cases and 2) disposition within 60 days of argument or submission on brief in 100% of child access cases. As Table 3 shows, the Court met the goal for Standard 1 in 36.8% of the cases (n=28). This is an improvement over the Court's performance on Standard 1 in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> One child access case was also an elective expedited appeal, hence subject to both sets of standards.

Fiscal Year 2020 (31.6% within standard) and 2019 (13% within standard). The Court processed cases substantially faster as to Standard 2, achieving the time standard in 98.7% of the cases.

Child Access Standard 1 measures time from filing the record to argument or brief submission. During this timeframe, the Court relies on actions that one or both parties must perform. The Court may need to permit rescheduling the argument to accommodate parties' late filing of briefs, transfer of records, or change of counsel in these cases, as permitted by Rule.

Table 3. Performance of Cases Subject to Special Case Time Standard Goals, Court of Special Appeals, FiscalYear 2021

| Case Type  | Case Time<br>Start  | Case Time<br>Stop | Time<br>Standard<br>Goal |      | Fiscal Year<br>2020<br>Dispositions | Within<br>Standard |       |
|--|---|-------------------|--------------------------|------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-------|
|  |   |                   | Days                     | %    | Dispositions                        | N                  | %     |
| Child Access /<br>Guardianship / CINA /<br>TPR, Standard 1ª                | Filing of<br>Record   | Argument<br>Held  | 120<br>Days              | 98%  | 76 <sup>ª</sup>                     | 28°                | 36.8% |
| Child Access /<br>Guardianship / CINA /<br>TPR, Standard 2ª                | Argument or<br>Submission on<br>Brief   | Disposition       | 60<br>Days               | 100% | /0-                                 | 75                 | 98.7% |
| State Appeals from the<br>Pretrial Suppression of<br>Evidence <sup>b</sup> | Filing of<br>Record   | Disposition       | 120<br>Days              | 100% | 1                                   | 1                  | 100%  |
| Elective Expedited<br>Appeals, Standard 1°                                 | Later of: Filing<br>of Appellee's<br>brief <u>or</u><br>commencement<br>of the next<br>session of Court | Argument<br>Held  | 45<br>Days               | 98%  | 1 <sup>d</sup>                      | 1                  | 100%  |
| Elective Expedited<br>Appeals, Standard 2°                                 | Argument of<br>Submission on<br>Brief   | Decision          | 20<br>Days               | 98%  |                                     | 1                  | 100%  |

<sup>a</sup> Maryland Rule 8-207(a)(5).

<sup>b</sup> Courts and Judicial Proceedings § 12-302(c)(3).

<sup>c</sup> Md. Rule 8-207(b).

<sup>d</sup> The 76 child access cases includes the single (1) elective expedited appeal, which was both a child access and elected expedited appeal hence subject to both sets of standards.

<sup>e</sup> The number of cases within standard would be 1 higher (i.e. 29 instead of 30) if, for cases where the 120th day falls on a weekend or holiday, one were to count a record filed on the next business day as within standard. Although the time standard derives from the scheduling provisions of Rule 8-207(a)(5), and Rule 1-203 modifies the time period when the last day falls on a weekend or holiday, the time standard does not currently adjust similarly.

#### Analysis of Over-Standard Cases

Examination of cases considered over standard by the Court shows that a substantial number of cases missed the time standard by only a short period. In Fiscal Year 2021, 24% of the over-standard criminal appeals and 9% of the over-standard civil appeals were disposed within 1 week of the 9 month time standard, and 40% and 23%, respectively, within a month. *See* Table 4.

Similarly, the Court processed some over-standard child access cases shortly after the standard goal passed. Of the child access cases over-standard for Standard 1, 2% were disposed within 1 week of the time standard, and 6% of child access cases missed Standard 1 (filing to argument or submission) by 1 month or less. The Court disposed of the only child access case that was not within Standard 2 within 1 week of the standard.

Additionally, some cases not processed within the applicable time standard had suspension events, but the *number of days* calculation in these analyses do not deduct suspended time.

| Case Type                       | Time Standard  | Number of<br>Over- | Number and Percentage of Cases Over-<br>Standard |           |        |         |
|---------------------------------|--|--------------------|--|-----------|--------|---------|
|                                 |  | Standard<br>Cases  | With   | in 1 week | Within | 1 month |
|                                 |  |                    | Ν  | %         | Ν      | %       |
| Criminal                        | 270 Days   | 50                 | 12   | 24%       | 20     | 40%     |
| Civil (includes<br>Delinquency) | 270 Days   | 56                 | 5  | 9%        | 13     | 23%     |
| Child Access,<br>Standard 1     | 120 Days<br>(Filing of Record to<br>Argument Held or<br>Submission on Brief) | 48                 | 1  | 2%        | 3      | 6%      |
| Child Access,<br>Standard 2     | 60 Days<br>(Argument or<br>Submission on Brief to<br>Disposition)            | 1                  | 1  | 100%      | 1      | 100%    |

Table 4. Analysis of Over-Standard Cases Court of Special Appeals, Fiscal Year 2021

## **Appendix: Court of Special Appeals Case Time Standards**

|              |  |  | Case Tin   | e Suspension   |   |          |
|--------------|--|--|--|--|---|----------|
| Case<br>Type | Time<br>Standard                                     | Case Time<br>Start                       | Stay Begins<br>(Suspend<br>Begins)   | Stay Ends<br>(Suspend Ends)  | Case Time<br>Stop   | Comments |
| Civil        | 9 Months<br>(80%<br>Completed<br>within<br>standard) | Argument<br>or<br>Submission<br>on Brief | <ul> <li>Parties request<br/>a Stay</li> <li>Suggestion of<br/>Bankruptcy</li> <li>Request for or<br/>referral to<br/>mediation and<br/>stay</li> <li>Consolidation<br/>with a related<br/>case.</li> <li>Notice of<br/>Pending case<br/>in the Court of<br/>Appeals with<br/>the same or<br/>related issue</li> <li>Corrections to<br/>the record<br/>identified in<br/>argument</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Stay is lifted by parties' request or court order</li> <li>Date of order lifting stay following information that the automatic stay has been lifted (relief from stay, dismissal or discharge)</li> <li>Order lifting stay following unsuccessful or partially successful mediation</li> <li>Argument or submission of the latter of the two cases</li> <li>Order lifting stay following notice of disposition of case in Court of Appeals</li> <li>Granting of a motion to correct the record</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Disposition:</li> <li>Opinion<br/>filed</li> <li>Dismissal<br/>order filed</li> <li>Voluntary<br/>dismissal by<br/>appellant</li> <li>Mediation<br/>agreement<br/>and remand</li> <li>Transferred<br/>to Court of<br/>Appeals</li> </ul> |          |
| Criminal     | 9 Months<br>(80%<br>Completed<br>within<br>standard) | Argument<br>or<br>Submission<br>on Brief | <ul> <li>Parties request<br/>a Stay</li> <li>Consolidation<br/>with a related<br/>case</li> <li>Notice of<br/>Pending case<br/>in the Court of<br/>Appeals with<br/>the same or<br/>related issue</li> <li>Corrections to<br/>the record<br/>identified in<br/>argument</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Stay is lifted by parties' request or court order</li> <li>Argument or submission of the latter of the two cases</li> <li>Order lifting stay following notice of disposition of case in Court of Appeals</li> <li>Granting of a motion to correct the record</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Disposition:</li> <li>Opinion<br/>filed</li> <li>Dismissal<br/>order filed</li> <li>Voluntary<br/>dismissal<br/>by<br/>appellant.</li> <li>Transferred<br/>to Court of<br/>Appeals</li> </ul>  |          |

|   | Case Time Suspension  |   |  |   |   |                         |
|---|---|---|--|---|---|-------------------------|
| Case Type   | Time<br>Standard  | Case Time<br>Start  | Stay Begins<br>(Suspend<br>Begins)   | Stay Ends<br>(Suspend<br>Ends)  | Case Time Stop  | Comments                |
| <ul> <li>Child Access/<br/>Guardianship</li> <li>CINA/TPR<br/>Standard 1</li> </ul>       | <ul> <li>120 Days</li> <li>(98%<br/>Completed<br/>within<br/>standard)</li> </ul>   | Filing of<br>Record   | CSA order to<br>stay CINA<br>appeal if circuit<br>court in<br>underlying<br>CINA case<br>proceeds with<br>TPR                                      | Order lifting<br>stay   | Argument held   | Md. Rule<br>8-207(a)(5) |
| <ul> <li>Child Access/<br/>Guardianship<br/>/</li> <li>CINA/TPR<br/>Standard 2</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>60 Days</li> <li>(100%<br/>Completed<br/>within<br/>standard)</li> </ul>   | • Argument<br>or<br>Submissio<br>n on Brief   | None   | None  | <ul> <li>Disposition:</li> <li>Opinion filed</li> <li>Dismissal<br/>order filed</li> <li>Voluntary<br/>dismissal filed</li> </ul>         | Md. Rule<br>8-207(a)(5) |
| State Appeals<br>from the pretrial<br>suppression of<br>evidence                          | <ul> <li>120 Days</li> <li>(100%<br/>Completed<br/>within<br/>standard)</li> </ul>  | • Filing of<br>Record   | None   | None  | <ul> <li>Disposition:</li> <li>Opinion filed</li> <li>Dismissal<br/>order filed</li> <li>Voluntary<br/>dismissal by<br/>State</li> </ul>  | CJ<br>§ 12-302(c)(3)    |
| Elective<br>Expedited<br>Appeals<br>Standard 1  | • 45 Days<br>(98%<br>Completed<br>within<br>standard)   | • Later of<br>filing of<br>Appellee's<br>brief or<br>commence<br>ment of<br>the next<br>session of<br>Court | None   | None  | • Argument<br>held  | Md. Rule<br>8-207(b)    |
| Elective<br>Expedited<br>Appeals<br>Standard 2  | <ul> <li>20 days (or<br/>30 days if<br/>submitted<br/>on brief)</li> <li>(98%<br/>Completed<br/>within<br/>standard)</li> </ul> | Argument<br>or<br>Submissio<br>n on Brief   | <ul> <li>Identification<br/>of<br/>extraordinary<br/>circumstances</li> <li>Panel of Court<br/>recommends<br/>reporting the<br/>opinion</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Conclusion<br/>of<br/>extraordinary<br/>circumstance<br/>s</li> <li>Conclusion<br/>of the next<br/>scheduled<br/>conference</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Decision:</li> <li>Opinion filed</li> <li>Dismissal<br/>order filed</li> <li>Voluntary<br/>dismissal by<br/>appellant</li> </ul> | Md. Rule<br>8-207(b)    |

## **Special Cases**

<sup>a</sup> Must be a joint election executed by all parties. Md. Rule 8-207(b).