MARYLAND JUDICIARY

JUDICIAL COUNCIL'S SPECIALTY COURTS AND DOCKETS COMMITTEE

Plan for Proposed Problem-Solving Court

Informational Materials and Plan

Office of Problem-Solving Courts

Maryland Judicial Center 187 Harry S. Truman Parkway Annapolis, Maryland 21401 Richard.barton@mdcourts.gov

(410) 260-3617

Table of Contents

Background Information and Instructions			
Section 1. Purpose	3		
Section 2. Plan and Submission Information	3		
Section 3. Review & Approval of Plan	4		
Section 4. Plan Requirements	5		
Plan for Proposed Problem-Solving Court	7		

Section 1. Purpose

To provide a formal process for planning problem-solving courts to become operational and be recognized by the Court of Appeals as such. Applicants are expected to provide a completed Plan for Proposed Problem-Solving Court (hereafter referred to as the Plan) and any supporting materials that would provide the most accurate detail of the proposed problem-solving court. The contents of any Plan to be submitted must comply with Maryland Rule 16-207 and Chief Judge Barbera's Administrative order dated June 18, 2019.

The approval of this Plan by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of Maryland does not imply any financial support for the operational problem-solving court. Requests for funding or other resources should not be included in this Plan.

Section 2. Plan and Submission Information

Plan Specifications

The Plan must be submitted utilizing either Microsoft Word Times New Roman font set at 12, or by using the online Plan available through the Office of Problem-Solving Courts website. Do not use staples or bind the signed Plan. Do not modify the Plan format in any way. The Plan submission must have proper signatures to be considered.

All or select portions of the Plan may be requested to be resubmitted if the Plan is not complete, clear, and concise. Fully explain and describe all acronyms or terms used.

Signed Plans and all attachments should be forwarded to the Judicial Council's Specialty Courts and Dockets Committee at the following address:

Judicial Council's Specialty Courts and Dockets Committee c/o Gray Barton, Director Office of Problem-Solving Courts Maryland Judicial Center 187 Harry S. Truman Parkway Annapolis, Maryland 21401

Or a scanned copy can be sent to richard.barton@mdcourts.gov

Technical Assistance

For additional technical assistance in relation to this Plan, please contact the Office of Problem-Solving Courts at:

Office of Problem-Solving Courts Maryland Judicial Center 187 Harry S. Truman Parkway Annapolis, Maryland 21401 (410) 260-3617 richard.barton@mdcourts.gov

Section 3. Review and Approval of Plan

Initial Review of Plan

The Administrative Order requires that prior to submitting a Plan for a Proposed Problem-Solving Court, the applicant should confer with the Office of Problem-Solving Courts and each State, local, or federal agency or official whose participation in the problem-solving court will be required under the Plan.

Additionally, the Judicial Council's Specialty Courts and Dockets Committee will review the Plan to determine whether it is comprehensible; identify potential weaknesses or areas of concern, and whether the Plan has adequate facilities, staff, and management capacity. The Chair of the Committee may appoint a representative(s) to conduct an on-site visit to determine whether all requirements for approval have been met. The Committee may request clarification and offer recommendations or corrections as necessary.

Approval Process

Once submitted, the Judicial Council's Specialty Courts and Dockets Committee shall review the Plan and forward its recommendations regarding the prospective problem-solving court Plan to the State Court Administrator.

Upon receipt of the recommendations from the Judicial Council's Specialty Courts and Dockets Committee, the State Court Administrator shall review the Plan to assure compliance with Maryland Rule 16-207, make such investigations and acquire such additional information as the State Court Administrator deems appropriate, consult with the submitting judge and the Judicial Council's Specialty Courts and Dockets Committee within four (4) months after submission of the Plan, unless extended by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of Maryland, the State Court Administrator shall submit the Plan to the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of Maryland with a recommendation to approve the Plan.

Upon receipt of the State Court Administrator's recommendation, the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of Maryland will review the Plan for approval.

Section 4. Plan Requirements

The Administrative Order requires that the Plan contain the following:

- I. Explicit statements regarding the nature and purpose of the court, including
 - a. the target population to be served;
 - b. the estimated number of persons in that target population expected to participate on an annual basis; and
 - c. the services to be provided and which agencies or officials will be responsible for providing those services;
- II. A clear statement of the proposed structure of the court, including: the duties and functions of judges, other judicial personnel, and non-judicial personnel or agencies expected to participate;
- III. A statement as to whether a judge or magistrate proposing to preside over a problem-solving court has completed the appropriate educational courses as required by Section (g)(2) of the Administrative Order on Continuing Education of Judges, Magistrates, and Commissioners;
- IV. Specific protocols and requirements regarding referrals and entry of participants into the court, including:
 - a. eligibility criteria for participation, and the methods by which eligibility will be determined and participants will be approved;
 - b. whether self-represented participants will be accepted and, if so, how any right to the assistance of counsel will be protected;
 - c. protocols on any waivers, including *ex parte* communications consistent with Rules 18-102.9 and 18-202.9; and
 - d. the form and content of any written agreement a proposed participant will be expected to sign, as well as a clear statement of how such an agreement will be presented and explained to the participant including documentation of a finding by the admitting court that the participant understands the agreement and enters into it knowingly and voluntarily;
- V. A clear description of how the problem-solving court will operate, including:
 - a. the expected role of counsel;
 - b. the criteria by which a participant's success will be measured;
 - c. the kinds of requirements and restrictions that will be imposed on participants;
 - d. the methods and procedures for measuring a participant's satisfaction of those requirements, restrictions, and criteria;
 - e. the nature of any rewards and sanctions to which a participant may be subject and the procedures for implementing rewards and imposing sanctions; and

- f. criteria for both satisfactory and unsatisfactory termination of a participant's participation from the problem-solving court and the procedures for determining and implementing such terminations;
- VI. An estimated budget for the court approved by the submitting judge and a description of the expected funding sources; and
- VII. Such other provisions required by Rule 16-207 or as reasonably directed by the Office of Problem-Solving Courts or the State Court Administrator.

Plan for Proposed Problem-Solving Court

Section I – Court Information

Court Jurisdiction		
Address		
City	State	Zip Code
Phone Number	Email	
Administrative Judge		
Problem-Solving Court Ju	ndge (if different)	
Problem-Solving Court C	ontact Name	
Address		
City	State	Zip Code
Phone Number	Email	
Section II – Problem	-Solving Court Descripti	on
Type of Problem-Solving	g Court	
□ Adult Drug Court	□ DUI/Drug Court	□ Family Recovery Court
□ Juvenile Drug Court	□ Mental Health Court	□ Re-Entry Court
□ Truancy Court	□ Veterans Court	
□ Other		

Program Summary:

The Program Summary should provide a concise summary of the proposal and briefly describe the components of the proposed Problem-Solving Court, including the type of cases that can be accepted, the treatment strategies and modalities that will be used.

What is the proposed length of the problem-solving court?

Estima	ated projected program capacity:		
Projec	ted number of participants to be admitted, During the first fiscal year: During the second fiscal year:		
Who is	s allowed to participate in the problem-solvir	ng co	ourt? (Check all that apply):
	Adults Males Adult Females Repeat Offenders Probation Violators Offenders with a Substance Addiction (Controlled or Otherwise)		Offenders with a Mental Illness or disability Juveniles Non-Violent Offenders First-Time Offenders Parole Violators Other
If Othe	er, please explain:		
includ	describe any criteria for eligibility or ineling whether self-represented participants will assistance of counsel will be protected.		
Please	explain how participants are identified and r	efer	red to the problem-solving court.
Will a prospective participant be expected to sign a written agreement upon entry into the problem-solving court?			

If yes, descri steps to be	es (attach a copy of the write how the agreement witaken by the Court to cound enters into it knowing)	Il be presented and ex letermine whether the		
treatment an	nin how participants are ad/or other essential servinused and why.			
Provide a desupport that	escription of your target decision.	population and what	local data is being use	ed to
Does the pro	blem-solving court have p	ohases? □ No □ Yes	(describe below)	
Phase	How Long?	Phase	How Long?	
Describe the participants:	e frequency and nature	of judicial involvem	ent and interaction with	ı the
Describe the	methods of supervision a	nd monitoring that wi	ll be utilized:	

Please explain how program participants may exit the problem-solving court, including criteria for graduation.

Section III - Available Services

What services are available to problem-solving court participants? (Check all that apply):				
	AA/NA/CA		Intensive Outpatient	
	Academic/GED/Vocational		Job Training	
	Assisted Living		Life Skills	
	Case Management		Mental Health	
	Childcare		Methadone Treatment (Medically	
	Cognitive		Supervised)	
	Behavioral/Restructuring		Other Support Groups	
	Co-occurring Treatment		Outpatient Treatment	
	Day Reporting		Parenting Class	
	Day Treatment		Primary Health/Dental Care	
	Detoxification		Probation Residential Services	
	Developmental Disabilities		Relapse Prevention	
	Support Services		Substance Abuse Residential	
	Early Recovery		Three-quarter House	
	Family Therapy		Other (List)	
	Group Counseling			
	Half-way House			
	Housing			
	Individual Counseling			
	In-patient Treatment (up to 28			
	days)			

Please list all treatment or service providers associated with your problem-solving court:

Company/Agency Type of Treatment Point of Contact Phone

Provide information on what partnerships are being established. Please attach documents and Memorandums of Understanding as appropriate.

Section IV – Funding

Describe the total amount of funding the court has received or anticipates receiving this fiscal year. (Fill ALL that apply, explain as needed and enter the total annual funding amount):

	Funding Source	Funding Amount
A.	Federal Government	
		\$
		\$
		\$
		\$
В.	State Government	
		4
C.	Local Government	
		ф
		_ \$
D.	Private Sources (i.e. Grants, donations f other charitable organizations)	rom businesses or foundations, and
		\$
		\$
		\$
		_
Ε.	Other	
		\$
		φ
		\$

Describe your plan and/or goals to financially sustain the problem-solving court as a valuable and cost-effective service to the community: **Section V - Statistical Data and Evaluation** How is data to be collected and compiled? □ Automated □ Manually □ Both Describe the method in which the problem-solving court plans to collect and then use the data and statistics to effectively determine whether the court is meeting its goals and objectives. **Section VI - Problem-Solving Court Personnel** Please list all personnel associated with your problem-solving court (i.e., judge, coordinator, prosecutor, defense counsel, probation, etc.)

<u>Name</u>	Role	Phone	E-Mail Address	
-	_		mal training in establishing a prob provided the training and when it	
□ No □ Yes	By Whon	n & When n & When n & When		

Signing Authority

by:	tnorized for submission
Signature of Administrative Judge	Date
Signature of Problem-Solving Court Judge (If Different)	Date