UNREPORTED

IN THE COURT OF SPECIAL APPEALS

OF MARYLAND

No. 647

September Term, 2018

THADDEUS CASIMIR SHORTZ

v.

STATE OF MARYLAND

Fader, C.J., Leahy, Friedman,

JJ.

Opinion by Friedman, J.

Filed: March 11, 2019

^{*}This is an unreported opinion, and it may not be cited in any paper, brief, motion, or other document filed in this Court or any other Maryland Court as either precedent within the rule of stare decisis or as persuasive authority. Md. Rule 1-104.

Thaddeus Casimir Shortz argues that he received an illegal sentence because, he alleges, there were defects in jury harkening and polling at his trial. This claim falls squarely under the rule of *Colvin v. State*, 450 Md. 718, 728 (2016), which held that allegations of such defects are not cognizable on a motion to correct illegal sentence. Shortz failed to mention *Colvin* in his opening brief. In his reply brief, Shortz failed to distinguish *Colvin* from his case. We therefore dismiss the appeal.

APPEAL DISMISSED; COSTS TO BE PAID BY APPELLANT.