UNREPORTED

IN THE COURT OF SPECIAL APPEALS

OF MARYLAND

No. 1138

September Term, 2020

AZANIAH BLANKUMSEE

v.

STATE OF MARYLAND

Nazarian, Arthur, Moylan, Charles E., Jr. (Senior Judge, Specially Assigned),

JJ.

PER CURIAM

Filed: June 4, 2021

^{*}This is an unreported opinion, and it may not be cited in any paper, brief, motion, or other document filed in this Court or any other Maryland Court as either precedent within the rule of stare decisis or as persuasive authority. Md. Rule 1-104.

On October 13, 2020, Azaniah Blankumsee, appellant, filed in the Circuit Court for Washington County a motion for substance abuse evaluation and treatment. On November 2, 2020, the court denied the motion. Mr. Blankumsee subsequently filed an application for leave to appeal from the court's order. The court apparently treated the application as a notice of appeal and forwarded the case to this Court. Mr. Blankumsee now challenges not the court's November 2, 2020 denial of the motion for substance abuse evaluation and treatment, but the court's September 21, 2020 denial of a motion to reopen a post-conviction proceeding. Md. Code (2001, 2018 Repl. Vol.), § 7-109(a) of the Criminal Procedure Article, states that "a person aggrieved by [an] order" in a post-conviction proceeding must "apply to the Court of Special Appeals for leave to appeal the order." We have not granted an application for leave to appeal from the court's November 2, 2020 order, and hence, the order is not before us. Accordingly, we dismiss the instant appeal.

APPEAL DISMISSED. COSTS TO BE PAID BY APPELLANT.