

Circuit Court for Montgomery County  
Case No.: 483836V

UNREPORTED  
IN THE APPELLATE COURT  
OF MARYLAND\*

No. 1493

September Term, 2022

---

RABITAH MOSES

v.

MONTGOMERY COLLEGE  
BOARD OF TRUSTEES

---

Graeff,  
Beachley,  
Eyler, James R.  
(Senior Judge, Specially Assigned),

JJ.

---

PER CURIAM

---

Filed: July 28, 2023

\*At the November 8, 2022, general election, the voters of Maryland ratified a constitutional amendment changing the name of the Court of Special Appeals of Maryland to the Appellate Court of Maryland. The name change took effect on December 14, 2022.

\*\* This is a per curiam opinion. Consistent with Rule 1-104, the opinion is not precedent within the rule of stare decisis nor may it be cited as persuasive authority.

Rabitah Moses, appellant, sued the Montgomery College Board of Trustees, appellee, in the Circuit Court for Montgomery County for negligence and intentional infliction of emotional distress. The Board filed a motion to dismiss, which the court granted on August 18, 2022. Moses then filed a revisory motion on September 7, which the court denied on September 21. She noted this appeal on October 18.

On appeal, Moses seeks review of the circuit court’s orders dismissing her case and denying her revisory motion. As the Board notes in its brief, however, Moses was required to file her notice of appeal “within 30 days after entry of the judgment or order from which the appeal is taken.” Md. Rule 8-202(a). Here, that deadline was September 19, 2022.<sup>1</sup> That Moses filed a revisory motion does not change this: “A motion for reconsideration filed more than [10] days, but within 30 days, after entry of a judgment or order . . . does not toll the running of the time to note an appeal.” *Johnson v. Francis*, 239 Md. App. 530, 541 (2018). Her appeal is thus untimely as to the circuit court’s order dismissing the case, and we will therefore consider only whether it erred in denying Moses’s revisory motion.

We review a circuit court’s denial of a motion for reconsideration for abuse of discretion. *Id.* at 542. In her motion, Moses alleged, generally, that the judge that oversaw the hearing on the Board’s motion to dismiss was biased against her. She did not produce any evidence to support that claim. And on review of the transcript of that hearing, Moses was given the opportunity to argue why her case should not be dismissed. The court then,

---

<sup>1</sup> Thirty days from August 18, 2022, was September 17—a Saturday. The deadline would therefore have moved to the following Monday: September 19. Md. Rule 1-203(a)(1).

having reviewed the parties' filings prior to the hearing, thoroughly explained why her complaint failed to state a claim upon which relief could be granted under Maryland law. There is no indication of any bias or legal error. The circuit court therefore did not abuse its discretion in denying Moses's revisory motion.

**JUDGMENTS OF THE CIRCUIT  
COURT FOR MONTGOMERY  
COUNTY AFFIRMED. COSTS TO  
BE PAID BY APPELLANT.**