

Circuit Court for Prince George's County  
Case No. CAEF16-25294

UNREPORTED  
IN THE COURT OF SPECIAL APPEALS  
OF MARYLAND

No. 2726

September Term, 2018

---

SANDRA THOMPSON

v.

ROBERT FRAZIER, *et al.*

---

Graeff,  
Arthur,  
Moylan, Charles E., Jr.  
(Senior Judge, Specially Assigned),

JJ.

---

PER CURIAM

---

Filed: February 10, 2020

\*This is an unreported opinion, and it may not be cited in any paper, brief, motion, or other document filed in this Court or any other Maryland Court as either precedent within the rule of stare decisis or as persuasive authority. Md. Rule 1-104.

In August 2016, appellees, the substitute trustees,<sup>1</sup> filed an order to docket in the Circuit Court for Prince George’s County seeking to foreclose on residential property owned by Sandra Thompson, appellant. Ms. Thompson’s home was eventually sold at a foreclosure auction and the sale was ratified on June 21, 2018. The court granted appellees’ motion for judgment of possession on September 21, 2018 and ratified the auditor’s report on October 12, 2018. This appeal followed.

Ms. Thompson’s brief is confusing and difficult to follow. However, she essentially contends that, by filing and recording a durable power of attorney, she revoked “all previous authorization and jurisdiction” for others to act on her behalf. Because of this “revocation,” she claims that neither appellees nor the circuit court had the authority to proceed in her case and therefore, that the ratification of the foreclosure sale must be vacated. These claims have no basis in law. Rather, they appear to be based on legal theories advanced by the proponents of the “sovereign citizen” and “redemptionist” movements, in which individuals seek to “dodge [their] legal and financial responsibilities by claiming [to be] a ‘general executor,’ denying [their] citizenship, or through other filings or declarations to these effects.” *Anderson v. O’Sullivan*, 224 Md. App. 501, 512-13 (2015). However, in *Anderson*, we noted that such theories “have not, will not, and cannot be accepted as valid.” *Id.* at 512. Because Ms. Thompson has failed to demonstrate that she had a valid defense to the foreclosure proceeding, that there were any procedural irregularities in the foreclosure sale or auditor’s report, or that the court erred in granting

---

<sup>1</sup> Appellees are Robert E. Frazier, Thomas J. Gartner, Laura D. Harris, Robert M. Oliveri, Thomas W. Hodge, David M. Williamson, and Gene Jung.

appellees’ motion for judgment of possession, we shall affirm the judgments of the circuit court.

**JUDGMENTS OF THE CIRCUIT  
COURT FOR PRINCE GEORGE’S  
COUNTY AFFIRMED. COSTS TO BE  
PAID BY APPELLANT.**